

## Author response to letter to the editor “Protocolized weaning from mechanical strategy in COPD: respiratory therapists versus physician directed—who guides best?”

Cenk Kirakli, Ozlem Ediboglu, Ilknur Naz, Pinar Cimen, Dursun Tatar

Intensive Care Unit, Dr. Suat Seren Chest Diseases and Surgery Training Hospital, Izmir, Turkey.

*Correspondence to:* Cenk Kirakli, MD. Assoc. Prof. Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Intensive Care Unit, Dr. Suat Seren Chest Diseases and Surgery Training Hospital, Izmir, Turkey. Email: ckirakli@hotmail.com.

Submitted Apr 25, 2015. Accepted for publication Apr 26, 2015.

doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.05.12

**View this article at:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.05.12>

*Dear Editor,*

We thank to Dr. Erbabacan and colleagues for their interest in our study. We are grateful for the insightful comments to our manuscript and we are happy to respond to their comments as follows.

Duration of mechanical ventilation and weaning are crucial time periods for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients who are prone to infectious complications of intubation. Physicians aim to shorten the duration of intubation in these patients by using different techniques such as noninvasive ventilation (NIV), weaning protocols and automated modes and a recent review reported that shorter weaning may reduce mortality especially in COPD patients (1,2).

In the present study, we aimed to evaluate the impact of a protocol that was novel for our center, performed by a respiratory therapist (RT). These types of protocols can be administered with different medical teams like nurses and RT's successfully (3,4). Regarding the method of the study, thus the study does not have a randomized controlled design; it is obvious that the groups may not be fully comparable. Therefore we used a Cox regression model in order to adjust for confounding factors. Even if regression models are used the best design to show the effect of an intervention is still to perform a randomized controlled trial so it is a limitation of the study. There are several randomized controlled studies evaluating the effect of protocols on weaning but these are performed on mixed patient populations (5-8). Further randomized controlled

trials are needed for specific patient groups such as COPD.

Our study is described as a cohort but not a prospective study. Prospectively collected data after the implementation of a weaning protocol were compared to the retrospective data when mechanical ventilation and weaning was managed by the physicians in charge without a standard protocol.

Underlying diseases associated with COPD, previous ICU admissions and quality of life might be important determinants of weaning outcomes in COPD patients. Due to the design of our study, it was not possible to collect these data for all patients, especially for the ones evaluated retrospectively.

The criterion for weaning failure in our study was defined as 48 hours of independence from all kinds of mechanical ventilatory support either invasive or noninvasive. During the study period, NIV was used as a rescue therapy in patients when they develop respiratory failure after extubation. Some studies reported decreases in the need for reintubation with the preventive use of NIV in selected patients at risk of developing postextubation respiratory failure, especially in patients becoming hypercapnic during spontaneous breathing trial (9,10). These data resulted with a change in our routine protocol, regarding the preventive use on NIV immediately after extubation in COPD patients.

We agree with Erbabacan and colleagues that further randomized controlled trials testing the impact of mechanical ventilation and weaning protocols including the preventive use of NIV in COPD patients are needed to answer the newly forming question: Does it really matter who guides if it is done by a protocol?

## Acknowledgements

*Disclosure:* The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Burns KE, Meade MO, Premji A, et al. Noninvasive ventilation as a weaning strategy for mechanical ventilation in adults with respiratory failure: a Cochrane systematic review. *CMAJ* 2014;186:E112-22.
2. Kirakli C, Ozdemir I, Ucar ZZ, et al. Adaptive support ventilation for faster weaning in COPD: a randomised controlled trial. *Eur Respir J* 2011;38:774-80.
3. Tonnelier JM, Prat G, Le Gal G, et al. Impact of a nurses' protocol-directed weaning procedure on outcomes in patients undergoing mechanical ventilation for longer than 48 hours: a prospective cohort study with a matched historical control group. *Crit Care* 2005;9:R83-9.
4. Marelich GP, Murin S, Battistella F, et al. Protocol weaning of mechanical ventilation in medical and surgical patients by respiratory care practitioners and nurses: effect on weaning time and incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Chest* 2000;118:459-67.
5. Kollef MH, Shapiro SD, Silver P, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of protocol-directed versus physician-directed weaning from mechanical ventilation. *Crit Care Med* 1997;25:567-74.
6. Navalesi P, Frigerio P, Moretti MP, et al. Rate of reintubation in mechanically ventilated neurosurgical and neurologic patients: evaluation of a systematic approach to weaning and extubation. *Crit Care Med* 2008;36:2986-92.
7. Simeone F, Biagioli B, Scolletta S, et al. Optimization of mechanical ventilation support following cardiac surgery. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)* 2002;43:633-41.
8. Blackwood B, Alderdice F, Burns K, et al. Use of weaning protocols for reducing duration of mechanical ventilation in critically ill adult patients: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2011;342:c7237.
9. Ornicio SR, Lobo SM, Sanches HS, et al. Noninvasive ventilation immediately after extubation improves weaning outcome after acute respiratory failure: a randomized controlled trial. *Crit Care* 2013;17:R39.
10. Bajaj A, Rathor P, Sehgal V, et al. Efficacy of noninvasive ventilation after planned extubation: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Heart Lung* 2015;44:150-7.

**Cite this article as:** Kirakli C, Ediboglu O, Naz I, Cimen P, Tatar D. Author response to letter to the editor "Protocolized weaning from mechanical strategy in COPD: respiratory therapists versus physician directed—who guides best?". *J Thorac Dis* 2015;7(5):E137-E138. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.05.12