

Association between Functional Small Airway Disease and FEV₁ Decline in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Surya P. Bhatt^{1,2*}, Xavier Soler^{3*} Xin Wang⁴, Susan Murray⁴, Antonio R. Anzueto⁵, Terri H. Beaty⁶, Aladin M. Boriek⁷, Richard Casaburi⁸, Gerard J. Criner⁹, Alejandro A. Diaz¹⁰, Mark T. Dransfield^{1,2}, Douglas Curran-Everett^{11,12}, Craig J. Galbán¹³, Eric A. Hoffman^{14,15,16}, James C. Hogg¹⁷, Ella A. Kazerooni¹⁸, Victor Kim⁹, Gregory L. Kinney¹⁹, Amir Lagstein²⁰, David A. Lynch²¹, Barry J. Make²², Fernando J. Martinez²³, Joe W. Ramsdell³, Rishindra Reddy²⁴, Brian D. Ross¹³, Harry B. Rossiter⁸, Robert M. Steiner²⁵, Matthew J. Strand^{11,12}, Edwin J. R. van Beek²⁶, Emily S. Wan²⁷, George R. Washko¹⁰, J. Michael Wells^{1,2}, Chris H. Wendt²⁸, Robert A. Wise²⁹, Edwin K. Silverman²⁷, James D. Crapo²², Russell P. Bowler^{22†}, and MeiLan K. Han^{23‡}; for the COPDGene Investigators

¹Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care Medicine, and ²UAB Lung Health Center, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama; ³Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, University of California San Diego, San Diego, California; ⁴School of Public Health, ¹³Department of Radiology, Center for Molecular Imaging, ¹⁸Department of Radiology, ²⁰Department of Pathology, ²³Division of Pulmonary & Critical Care Medicine, and ²⁴Division of Thoracic Surgery, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan; ⁵Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, and South Texas Veterans Health Care System, San Antonio, Texas; ⁶Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, and ²⁹Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland; ⁷Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas; ⁸Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Physiology and Medicine, and Rehabilitation Clinical Trials Center Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, California; ⁹Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, and ²⁵Department of Radiology, Temple University Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ¹⁰Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts; ¹¹Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, ²¹Department of Radiology, and ²²Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, National Jewish Health, Denver, Colorado; ¹²Department of Biostatistics and Informatics, and ¹⁹Department of Epidemiology, Colorado School of Public Health, University of Colorado Denver, Denver, Colorado; ¹⁴Department of Radiology, ¹⁵Department of Medicine, and ¹⁶Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa; ¹⁷Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of British Columbia, and James Hogg Research Centre, St. Paul's Hospital, Vancouver, Canada; ²⁶Clinical Research Imaging Centre, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom; ²⁷Channing Division of Network Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; and ²⁸Minneapolis VAMC, Pulmonary, Allergy, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine Section, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota

Abstract

Rationale: The small conducting airways are the major site of airflow obstruction in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and may precede emphysema development.

Objectives: We hypothesized a novel computed tomography (CT) biomarker of small airway disease predicts FEV₁ decline.

Methods: We analyzed 1,508 current and former smokers from COPDGene with linear regression to assess predictors of change in FEV₁ (ml/yr) over 5 years. Separate models for subjects without and with airflow obstruction were generated using baseline clinical and physiologic predictors in addition to two novel CT metrics created by parametric response mapping (PRM), a technique pairing inspiratory and expiratory CT images to define emphysema (PRM^{emph}) and functional small airways disease (PRM^{fSAD}), a measure of nonemphysematous air trapping.

Measurements and Main Results: Mean (SD) rate of FEV₁ decline in ml/yr for GOLD (Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease) 0–4 was as follows: 41.8 (47.7), 53.8 (57.1), 45.6 (61.1), 31.6 (43.6), and 5.1 (35.8), respectively (trend test for grades 1–4; $P < 0.001$). In multivariable linear regression, for participants without airflow obstruction, PRM^{fSAD} but not PRM^{emph} was associated with FEV₁ decline ($P < 0.001$). In GOLD 1–4 participants, both PRM^{fSAD} and PRM^{emph} were associated with FEV₁ decline ($P < 0.001$ and $P = 0.001$, respectively). Based on the model, the proportional contribution of the two CT metrics to FEV₁ decline, relative to each other, was 87% versus 13% and 68% versus 32% for PRM^{fSAD} and PRM^{emph} in GOLD 1/2 and 3/4, respectively.

Conclusions: CT-assessed functional small airway disease and emphysema are associated with FEV₁ decline, but the association with functional small airway disease has greatest importance in mild-to-moderate stage chronic obstructive pulmonary disease where the rate of FEV₁ decline is the greatest.

Clinical trial registered with www.clinicaltrials.gov (NCT 00608764).

Keywords: FEV₁; lung function; parametric response mapping

At a Glance Commentary**Scientific Knowledge on the Subject:**

Airflow obstruction is influenced by both small airway disease and emphysema. The small conducting airways are the major site of airflow obstruction in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and histologic data suggest small airway abnormality may precede emphysema. The impact of these components of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease on lung function decline remains unknown.

What This Study Adds to the Field:

In a population of current and former smokers, we demonstrate that the rate of FEV₁ decline is greatest in mild chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. A novel, computed tomography biomarker demonstrates functional small airway disease contributes to lung function decline particularly in mild disease, even among individuals without airflow obstruction.

Cigarette smoking is associated with an accelerated decline in FEV₁, resulting in airflow obstruction in a significant proportion of smokers (1). FEV₁ is influenced by both airway resistance and reduced elastic recoil caused by emphysema (2). The small conducting airways less than

2 mm in diameter that offer little resistance to airflow in normal lungs become the major site of airflow obstruction in persons with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (3, 4), representing a "silent zone" within the lung where obstructive airway disease can accumulate without being noticed (3–5). In fact, histologic and micro computed tomography (CT) data from explanted lung tissue suggest that widespread narrowing and destruction of the smaller airways actually occurs before emphysematous lesions become large enough to be visible on standard CT imaging (6). Unfortunately, the resolution of current clinical CT imaging prevents direct visualization of small airways disease beyond the subsegmental bronchi.

Although small airways disease can be assessed by "gas trapping," defined as the percent of voxels less than -856 Hounsfield units (HU) on expiratory CT, a significant limitation of this approach is that many lung regions that trap gas on exhalation also show emphysematous destruction when fully inflated to total lung capacity (TLC) (7). A recently developed CT analytic method, parametric response mapping (PRM), matches inspiratory and expiratory images on a voxel-by-voxel basis to examine the change in density between inspiratory and expiratory images (8). By applying separate density thresholds to the inspiratory and expiratory voxel measurements, we are able to discriminate emphysema (PRM^{emph}) from nonemphysematous air trapping, termed functional small airways disease (PRM^{fSAD})

(Figure 1; *see* Figure E1 in the online supplement).

Although emphysema defined as the percent of voxels less than -950 HU on inspiratory CT has previously been associated with lung function decline, the relative contribution of CT-defined small airways disease has not been examined (9). Here we present an analysis of a large multicenter study of current and former smokers to understand the relative contribution of small airways disease and emphysema to subsequent lung function decline across the disease severity spectrum over a 5-year period of observation. Some of the results in this study have been previously reported in the form of an abstract (10).

Methods**Study Population and Assessments**

Subjects participating in the follow-up phase of COPDGene (Genetic Epidemiology of COPD), a large multicenter longitudinal observational cohort study, were included in this analysis. Written informed consent was obtained from each subject and the study was approved by the institutional review boards of all 21 participating centers. Current and former smokers with greater than or equal to 10 pack-year smoking history, with and without airflow obstruction, were enrolled (11). Inclusion criteria also included non-Hispanic white or African American race; exclusion criteria included a history of other lung disease except asthma, prior surgical excision

(Received in original form November 16, 2015; accepted in final form January 16, 2016)

*Co-first authors.

[†]Co-senior authors.

Supported by Award R01 HL089897, R01 HL089856, R01 HL122438, and R44 HL118837 from the NHLBI. The COPDGene project is also supported by the COPD Foundation through contributions made to an Industry Advisory Board composed of AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, Pfizer, Siemens, Sunovion, and GlaxoSmithKline.

Author Contributions: M.K.H. had full access to all of the data in the study, takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis, and had authority over manuscript preparation and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Study concept and design: S.P.B., X.S., R.P.B., and M.K.H. Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: S.P.B., X.S., X.W., S.M., A.R.A., T.H.B., A.M.B., R.C., G.J.C., A.A.D., M.T.D., D.C.-E., C.J.G., E.A.H., J.C.H., E.A.K., V.K., G.L.K., A.L., D.A.L., B.J.M., F.J.M., J.W.R., R.R., B.D.R., H.B.R., R.M.S., M.J.S., E.J.R.V.B., E.S.W., G.R.W., J.M.W., C.H.W., R.A.W., E.K.S., J.D.C., R.P.B., and M.K.H. Drafting of the manuscript: S.P.B., X.S., R.P.B., and M.K.H. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: all authors. Statistical analysis: X.W., S.M., S.P.B., and M.K.H. Obtained funding: J.D.C. and E.K.S. Study supervision: all authors.

Correspondence and requests for reprints should be addressed to MeiLan K. Han, M.D., University of Michigan, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Ann Arbor, MI 48109. E-mail: mrking@med.umich.edu.

This article has an online supplement, which is accessible from this issue's table of contents at www.atsjournals.org

Am J Respir Crit Care Med Vol 194, Iss 2, pp 178–184, Jul 15, 2016

Copyright © 2016 by the American Thoracic Society

Originally Published in Press as DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201511-2219OC on January 25, 2016

Internet address: www.atsjournals.org




Figure 1. Graphic representation of the parametric response mapping (PRM) methodology. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease of GOLD (Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease) grades 1–4 are shown in rows. Inspiratory and expiratory computed tomography (CT) images, respectively, are shown on the left. PRM emphysema (PRM^{Emph}) voxels in red and PRM functional small airways (PRM^{SAD}) voxels in yellow are shown on the right. Although every voxel receives an individual categorical assignment, in this example PRM^{Emph} and PRM^{SAD} are distributed throughout the lung. Greater intensity of color indicates more voxels classified in each category.

involving a lung lobe or greater, active cancer, metal in the chest, and history of chest radiation therapy. The original COPDGene cohort enrolled 10,192 individuals. A total of 1,508 GOLD (Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease) 0–4 subjects who had completed a second COPDGene visit approximately 5 years after the first visit with acceptable pulmonary function and CT scans from

visit 1 and 2 by November 2014 were included for this analysis (see Figure E2).

At both visits, spirometry was performed before and after administration of 180 µg of albuterol (Easy-One spirometer; NDD, Andover, MA). Bronchodilator reversibility was defined as at least 12% and 200 ml increase in FEV₁ and/or FVC post-bronchodilator (12); post-bronchodilator values were used for

analyses (12). COPD was defined by post-bronchodilator FEV₁/FVC less than 0.70 at baseline visit per the GOLD guidelines (13). Disease severity was defined by GOLD grade. GOLD 0 was defined as by post-bronchodilator FEV₁/FVC greater than or equal to 0.70 at baseline visit and FEV₁% predicted greater than or equal to 80. Participants with FEV₁/FVC greater than 0.70 but with FEV₁ greater than 80%

predicted were deemed to have preserved ratio impaired spirometry and were not included in the analyses (14).

Data on demographics, smoking burden, respiratory morbidity, exacerbations, and comorbidities used in this analysis were recorded at the baseline visit. Respiratory disease-related health impairment and quality of life was assessed using the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (15), and dyspnea using the Modified Medical Research Council dyspnea score (16). History of exacerbations in the previous year was obtained at the time of initial visit, with exacerbations defined as acute worsening of respiratory symptoms that required use of either antibiotics or systemic steroids (13).

At the baseline visit, paired inspiratory and expiratory scans were obtained at maximal inspiration (TLC) and end-tidal expiration (FRC) (11). Emphysema was quantitated using the percentage of low-attenuation units less than -950 HU at TLC, and gas trapping using the percentage of low-attenuation units less than -856 HU at end-expiration using Slicer software (www.Slicer.org) (17). Using funds from NHLBI (grant R01 HL122438), PRM analysis was also performed on paired registered inspiratory and expiratory images to distinguish functional small airways disease (PRM^{FSAD}) from

emphysema (PRM^{emph}) by Imbio LLC (Minneapolis, MN) using lung density analysis software (8). Briefly, PRM^{FSAD} was defined as areas of lung that are greater than -950 HU on inspiration but also less than -856 HU on expiration. PRM^{emph} was defined as areas of lung that are less than -950 HU on inspiration and less than -856 HU on expiration (see Figure E1).

Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were performed in SAS version 9.3 (Cary, NC). Comparisons were performed using two-sample *t* tests for continuous variables and chi-square statistics for categorical variables. Linear regression was used to study univariate and multivariable associations between potential predictors and change in FEV₁ (ml/yr). The outcome of change in FEV₁ for each individual was calculated by subtracting visit 1 FEV₁ from visit 2 FEV₁ and dividing by the time between visits to calculate change in ml/yr. In addition to PRM CT metrics, which were the covariates of interest, age, sex, race, height, smoking history, and scanner type were included as covariates in all multivariable regression models regardless of univariate statistical significance. Otherwise, only parameters associated with FEV₁ change at a significance level of *P* less than 0.05 were retained in the multivariable model. Linear

regression analyses were repeated separately for GOLD 0 participants and also for GOLD 1–4 participants. Among GOLD 1–4 participants, the contribution to FEV₁ decline for each CT metric was calculated by multiplying the parameter estimate from the multivariable model by the mean CT metric value for the corresponding disease stage and dividing that value by the sum of this product for both metrics (PRM^{FSAD} and PRM^{emph}). *P* less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant for all analyses.

Results

Subject Characteristics

Results for 1,508 participants with complete data needed for multivariable regression analyses are reported here (see Figure E2). Baseline demographics and lung function are reported in Table 1, categorized by severity of airflow obstruction according to GOLD grade. Imaging metrics show an increase in emphysema with increasing GOLD spirometry grade as measured by both density analysis (emphysema) and PRM (PRM^{emph}) and an increase in small airways disease (PRM^{FSAD}).

FEV₁ Change over Time

The median follow-up time for the entire cohort was 64 months (range, 49–79) with a

Table 1. Baseline Demographics

Total number of subjects (N = 1,508)	GOLD Spirometry Grade				
	0 (n = 751)	1 (n = 150)	2 (n = 356)	3 (n = 192)	4 (n = 59)
Age, yr	58.2 (8.6)	63.8 (8.1)	63.3 (8.4)	64.1 (7.9)	62.8 (7.6)
Sex, n (% female)	395 (52.6)	66 (44.0)	161 (45.2)	87 (45.3)	29 (49.2)
Race, n (% African American)	245 (32.6)	28 (18.7)	79 (22.2)	36 (18.8)	5 (8.5)
Height, cm	169.5 (9.2)	169.7 (10.1)	170.9 (9.3)	169.9 (10.3)	169.6 (9.0)
FEV ₁ , L	2.8 (0.7)	2.6 (0.7)	1.9 (0.5)	1.2 (0.3)	0.68 (0.2)
FEV ₁ % predicted	97.7 (11.4)	91.6 (8.6)	65.0 (8.3)	40.9 (5.9)	23.6 (4.1)
FVC, L	3.6 (0.9)	4.1 (1.0)	3.3 (0.9)	2.7 (0.8)	2.3 (0.6)
FVC % predicted	96.2 (11.3)	108.5 (11.2)	87.4 (13.4)	72.4 (12.8)	59.1 (11.6)
FEV ₁ /FVC	0.79 (0.1)	0.64 (0.04)	0.47 (0.08)	0.44 (0.09)	0.31 (0.05)
Smoking pack-years	37.2 (20.0)	40.0 (49.2)	37.9 (48.6)	55.4 (24.8)	57.8 (28.6)
Current smokers, n (%)	364 (48.5)	60 (40.0)	135 (37.9)	60 (31.3)	10 (16.9)
Bronchodilator reversibility, n (%) [*]	68 (9.1)	42 (28.0)	136 (38.2)	80 (41.7)	19 (32.2)
Exacerbations in the prior year	0.13 (0.49)	0.13 (0.37)	0.43 (0.95)	0.71 (1.15)	1.14 (1.50)
Follow-up time, mo	63.9 (4.5)	63.7 (4.2)	64.1 (4.2)	64.0 (4.1)	65.0 (5.1)
Emphysema, %LAA < -950 HU _{insp}	2.7 (3.0)	6.9 (6.4)	8.4 (8.4)	17.9 (12.6)	26.6 (13.6)
Gas trapping, %LAA < -856 HU _{exp}	11.8 (9.9)	23.4 (12.1)	29.9 (15.4)	48.7 (16.3)	60.9 (12.2)
PRM functional small airways disease, %	12.4 (9.7)	22.2 (10.7)	26.6 (11.6)	36.3 (10.0)	39.2 (9.9)
PRM emphysema, %	0.6 (1.4)	3.3 (4.4)	5.6 (7.4)	15.2 (12.9)	24.7 (14.7)

Definition of abbreviations: GOLD = Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; LAA < -856 HU_{exp} = low-attenuation areas < -856 Hounsfield units at end expiration; LAA < -950 HU_{insp} = low-attenuation areas < -950 Hounsfield units at end inspiration; PRM = parametric response mapping. All values expressed as mean (SD) except categorical variables expressed as n (%).

^{*}Bronchodilator reversibility defined as 12% and 200-ml increase in FEV₁ and/or FVC.




Figure 2. Change in FEV₁ (ml/yr) between visit 1 and visit 2 by disease stage. Figures show the proportion of subjects in each disease stage group with varying levels of change in FEV₁ (ml/yr) over a 5-year period for GOLD (Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease) 0, mean -41.8 (SD, 47.7) ml/yr; GOLD grades 1 and 2 combined, mean -48.0 (SD, 60.0) ml/yr; and GOLD grades 3 and 4 combined, mean -25.3 (SD, 43.3) ml/yr.

mean (SD) rate of decline in FEV₁ of 41.1 (52.0) ml/yr (Figure 2). For GOLD 0 participants, mean rate of FEV₁ decline was 41.8 (47.7) ml/yr. Those with GOLD grade 1 had the most rapid rate of decline of 53.8 (57.1) ml/yr, with progressively slower rates of decline with increasing GOLD grades: 45.6 (61.1), 31.6 (43.6), and 5.1 (35.8) for GOLD grades 2–4, respectively (trend test for grades 1–4; $P < 0.001$). Figure E3 demonstrates that FEV₁ decline expressed as change in percent predicted follows similar trend to FEV₁ change in milliliters.

Clinical Predictors of FEV₁ Change

The rate of FEV₁ change was strongly associated with several baseline demographic variables. Univariate and multivariable analyses for FEV₁ decline are presented in Tables E1 and E2. In multivariable analysis, FEV₁ decline (ml/yr) was greater in current versus former smokers (6.91 ml/yr greater decline; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.82 – 13.01 ; $P = 0.01$). Those with baseline bronchodilator reversibility had greater FEV₁ decline compared with those without

bronchodilator reversibility (18.80 ml/yr greater decline; 95% CI, 12.60 – 25.01 ; $P < 0.001$). Greater rates of FEV₁ decline were also seen with higher baseline FEV₁ (14.45 ml/yr decline per liter; 95% CI, 6.75 – 22.14 ; $P < 0.001$), higher baseline FVC (14.74 ml/yr decline per liter; 95% CI, 8.15 – 21.32 ; $P < 0.001$) and more smoking pack-years (1.43 ml/yr decline per 10 pack-years; 95% CI, 0.82 – 2.57 ; $P = 0.01$).

Exacerbations in the prior year demonstrated no significant association with FEV₁ decline in either the univariate ($P = 0.38$) or multivariable model ($P = 0.55$) and, therefore, was not retained in the final model. African American race was associated with more rapid decline compared with non-Hispanic white participants (11.30 ml/yr greater decline; 95% CI, 4.34 – 18.25 ; $P = 0.002$) in multivariable analysis. Female sex was associated with more rapid FEV₁ decline than males (8.39 ml/yr greater decline; 95% CI, 0.94 – 15.84 ; $P = 0.03$); our analysis was not designed to assess sex difference.

Quantitative Imaging and FEV₁ Change

Results for the overall model are in Table E2. For all subjects, both PRM^{fSAD} and PRM^{emph} had a statistically significant association with lung function decline. To understand the impact of CT assessment of small airways disease and emphysema on FEV₁ decline based on presence or absence of baseline airflow obstruction, we also performed separate linear regression models for GOLD 0 and GOLD 1–4 subjects. Parameter estimates for the CT metrics for these stratified models are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Association between PRM Emphysema and fSAD on Change in FEV₁ ml/Year by Baseline GOLD Grade (Estimate, 95% CI, P Value)

	PRM ^{fSAD}	PRM ^{emph}
GOLD 0 (n = 751)		
Parameter estimate per 5% (ml/yr)	-2.2 (95% CI, -4.2 to -0.1 ; $P = 0.04$)	5.5 (95% CI, -8.0 to 19.1 ; $P = 0.42$)
Mean value CT metric (%)	12.4 (9.7)	0.6 (1.4)
GOLD 1–4 (n = 757)		
Parameter estimate per 5% (ml/yr)	-4.5 (95% CI, -6.3 to -2.6 ; $P < 0.001$)	-3.5 (95% CI, -5.6 to -1.4 ; $P = 0.001$)
Mean value CT metric (%)	29.2 (12.3)	9.1 (11.4)

Definition of abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; CT = computed tomography; fSAD = functional small airways disease; GOLD = Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; PRM = parametric response mapping; PRM^{emph} = emphysema on parametric response mapping; PRM^{fSAD} = functional small airways disease on parametric response mapping.

Two separate models are shown in rows for the groups GOLD 0 and GOLD 1–4 subjects. Parameter estimates and mean values for respective CT metrics are shown. All models adjusted for age, race, sex, height, current smoking, smoking history in pack-years, baseline FEV₁, baseline FVC, bronchodilator reversibility, and scanner type.

Among GOLD 0 participants, PRM^{fsAD} but not PRM^{emph} was significantly associated with FEV₁ decline. For every additional 5% of lung affected by PRM^{fsAD}, a significant decline in FEV₁ was seen (2.2 ml/yr per 5% PRM^{fsAD}; $P = 0.04$). Among GOLD 1–4 participants, for every additional 5% of lung affected by PRM^{fsAD} or PRM^{emph} a significant decline in FEV₁ was seen (4.5 ml/yr; 95% CI, 6.3–2.6; $P < 0.001$ and 3.5 ml/yr; 95% CI, 5.6–1.4; $P = 0.001$, respectively).

We also sought to understand the contribution of CT metrics to FEV₁ decline relative to each other in milder versus more severe disease (see Figure E4). We therefore used the parameter estimates from the linear regression model and mean CT metric values corresponding to GOLD 1–2 and GOLD 3–4 groups to determine the relative contribution of PRM^{fsAD} and PRM^{emph} to FEV₁ decline. PRM^{fsAD} was associated with significantly greater FEV₁ decline than PRM^{emph} for both groups ($P = 0.001$ for GOLD 1–2; $P = 0.007$ for GOLD 3–4), although this was even more pronounced for the GOLD 1–2 group (87% vs. 13% and 68% vs. 32% for PRM^{fsAD} and PRM^{emph} in GOLD 1–2 and 3–4, respectively).

Finally, to better understand the significance of PRM^{fsAD} in the GOLD 0 group, we also examined the mean rate of decline for varying levels of PRM^{fsAD}. As previously stated, the mean change in FEV₁ for GOLD 0 group was 41.8 (47.7) ml/yr. For individuals at or above the median PRM^{fsAD} level for the GOLD 0 group (PRM^{fsAD} 11%), the mean decline in FEV₁ was 45.2 (47.8) ml/yr versus 38.6 (47.2) ml/yr for those below the median ($P = 0.05$). For individuals at or above the 75th percentile of PRM^{fsAD} for GOLD 0 group (PRM^{fsAD} 16%) the mean decline in FEV₁ was 49.2 (50.2) ml/yr compared with those below the 75th percentile at 39.0 (46.4) ($P = 0.009$). Table E3 shows mean FEV₁ decline across quartiles of PRM^{fsAD} for GOLD 0.

Discussion

We demonstrated that, in a cohort of current and former smokers, functional small airways disease as measured by chest CT is associated with subsequent FEV₁ decline. Although we showed that emphysema is also associated with FEV₁

decline, its impact relative to small airway abnormality is weaker, particularly in mild-to-moderate disease stage. Finally, we demonstrated that this association between functional small airways disease and FEV₁ decline is evident in GOLD 0 subjects even before the development of spirometrically detected airflow obstruction.

Our findings support prior pathologic investigations of COPD. The small airways less than 2 mm in diameter are the major site of increased airflow resistance in COPD as established first in the 1960s through retrograde catheter studies in post-mortem lungs (3) and then later in living lungs (4). Peripheral airway inflammation has been noted in young smokers even before COPD is established (18). Further increases in this inflammatory response along with development of airway wall structural abnormalities have also been described in established COPD resulting in airway lumen narrowing (6). Recent histologic and micro CT data also reveal that narrowing and disappearance of terminal bronchioles precedes the development of emphysema (6).

Previously it has been demonstrated in individuals with moderate to severe COPD that emphysema on CT, defined as the percentage of voxels with density less than -950 HU at full inspiration, is associated with a more rapid decline of FEV₁ (9, 19). However, a good way to assess the small airways in patients radiographically has been lacking. Gas trapping, measured as the percent of voxels less than -856 HU using expiratory images alone, is limited by the inability to distinguish small airways disease from emphysema (7). Unlike standard densitometric analyses using inspiratory or expiratory images in isolation, PRM digitally coregisters inspiratory and expiratory CT images, which should help distinguish small airways disease from emphysema (8).

Here we demonstrated, in a large cohort of current and former smokers with a broad spectrum of disease severity, that functional small airways disease on CT as measured by PRM is significantly associated with decline in FEV₁ particularly in mild-to-moderate stage disease, whereas the contributions of small airways disease and emphysema are relatively more equal in later stages (GOLD 3–4). This association between functional small airways disease

and FEV₁ decline was evident even before the development of spirometrically detected airflow obstruction. We do note, however, that the effect size for PRM^{fsAD} was attenuated in GOLD 0 individuals as compared with those with established airflow obstruction. We postulate that airway disease if present in smokers without airflow obstruction may still be of a reversible nature and may represent bronchospasm or inflammation as opposed to fibrosis or airway loss.

In prior work comparing paired CT scans in smokers with GOLD 0–4 disease performed at 30 days and 1 year apart, we demonstrated particularly in individuals with mild-to-moderate stage disease that PRM^{fsAD} may increase or decrease over these shorter time intervals suggesting a reversible component (20). Here we demonstrated that in those with established airflow obstruction, PRM^{fsAD} is strongly associated with FEV₁ decline. Although PRM^{emph} was also associated with FEV₁ decline, its relative impact was weaker, particularly in mild-to-moderate disease. This is not to say, however, that emphysema as defined by PRM in mild-to-moderate stage disease is not important when present, but it is generally lesser in magnitude than small airways disease. We also demonstrated that high levels of CT-defined small airway abnormality were present in individuals without airflow obstruction who subsequently experience more rapid declines in FEV₁. In fact, the rate of decline experienced by GOLD 0 participants with PRM^{fsAD} greater than median value (45.2 ml/yr) was comparable with the rate of decline experienced by GOLD 2 individuals (45.6 ml/yr). These findings have potential implications for identifying individuals without airflow obstruction but at high risk for more rapid lung function decline.

Our study has several potential limitations. We had only two measurements of lung function separated by a median of approximately 5 years. However, within-person variability in our results was offset by the large number of individuals used to estimate average change. We also did not have data on lifelong FEV₁ trajectories (21); however, our goal was primarily to examine the relative impact of structural lung disease on subsequent FEV₁ decline. Our analysis was based on subjects who had completed their second study visit by November 3, 2014. It is possible that

patients who were first to follow-up differ from those who were either late for their second visit or lost to follow-up. Many of the patients with airflow obstruction were receiving therapy for their disease. Although no existing pharmacotherapy has been conclusively shown to affect the rate of FEV_1 decline, this still may have influenced our results. However, we chose not to include pharmacotherapy data in these analyses to reduce biases inherent to patient-reported pharmacoepidemiologic data (22).

We also describe an imaging metric that measures “functional” small airways disease through the change in lung density seen between inspiration and expiration. It is not a direct measure of airway thickness or destruction. The lack of a normal increase in lung density between inspiration and expiration implies that air is trapped. We postulate that such air trapping is caused by small airways disease, but the exact contribution of larger visible and smaller nonvisible airways is actively being investigated with radiologic-pathologic

correlation. In addition, this algorithm assigns every voxel to a specific disease category, which likely represents the majority of abnormality, but in reality there may be a mix of histologic abnormalities in the tissue covered by one voxel. The goal for the expiratory images was to obtain images at functional residual capacity. It is possible that this underestimates the amount of air trapping that might be seen at residual volume.

The current study also has a number of strengths. PRM is an advance over the traditional “gas trapping” metric defined by voxels less than -856 HU on expiration, which likely combines true emphysema and small airway abnormality (7). Here we allow the relative contribution from each to be resolved. Analyses were performed within a well-characterized cohort that included subjects with all stages of disease severity represented proportionally and with stringent spirometry and CT quality control. PRM metrics provide a novel noninvasive CT biomarker for disease progression, particularly in mild

to moderate COPD. Even though there are no established therapies to treat lung function decline, early identification of these subjects allows prognostication and perhaps targeting novel therapies in these individuals using the detailed spatial information provided on disease distribution and relative contribution of small airways disease and emphysema.

Conclusions

Both CT-assessed functional small airways disease and emphysema are associated with FEV_1 decline, but the association with functional small airways disease has greatest importance in mild-to-moderate stage COPD where the rate of FEV_1 decline is the greatest. These findings are consistent with prior pathologic studies and allow a noninvasive means to target at-risk patients at milder stages of the disease. ■

Author disclosures are available with the text of this article at www.atsjournals.org.

References

1. Fletcher C, Peto R. The natural history of chronic airflow obstruction. *BMJ* 1977;1:1645–1648.
2. Mead J, Turner JM, Macklem PT, Little JB. Significance of the relationship between lung recoil and maximum expiratory flow. *J Appl Physiol* 1967;22:95–108.
3. Hogg JC, Macklem PT, Thurlbeck WM. Site and nature of airway obstruction in chronic obstructive lung disease. *N Engl J Med* 1968;278:1355–1360.
4. Yanai M, Sekizawa K, Ohnai T, Sasaki H, Takishima T. Site of airway obstruction in pulmonary disease: direct measurement of intrabronchial pressure. *J Appl Physiol* 1985;72:1016–1023.
5. Mead J. The lung’s “quiet zone.” *N Engl J Med* 1970;282:1318–1319.
6. McDonough JE, Yuan R, Suzuki M, Seyednejad N, Elliott WM, Sanchez PG, Wright AC, Gefter WB, Litzky L, Coxson HO, et al. Small-airway obstruction and emphysema in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365:1567–1575.
7. Han MK. Clinical correlations of computed tomography imaging in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2013;10:S131–S137.
8. Galbán CJ, Han MK, Boes JL, Chughtai KA, Meyer CR, Johnson TD, Galbán S, Rehemtulla A, Kazerooni EA, Martinez FJ, et al. Computed tomography-based biomarker provides unique signature for diagnosis of COPD phenotypes and disease progression. *Nat Med* 2012;18:1711–1715.
9. Vestbo J, Edwards LD, Scanlon PD, Yates JC, Agusti A, Bakke P, Calverley PM, Celli B, Coxson HO, Crim C, et al.; ECLIPSE Investigators. Changes in forced expiratory volume in 1 second over time in COPD. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365:1184–1192.
10. Bhatt SP, Soler X, Wang X, Murray S, Allen T, Anzueto A, Beaty TH, Black-Shinn JL, Bon J, Boriek AM, et al. Predictors of lung function decline in smokers in COPDGene phase 2 [abstract]. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:A2433.
11. Regan EA, Hokanson JE, Murphy JR, Make B, Lynch DA, Beaty TH, Curran-Everett D, Silverman EK, Crapo JD. Genetic epidemiology of COPD (COPDGene) study design. *COPD* 2010;7:32–43.
12. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A, Crapo R, Enright P, van der Grinten CP, Gustafsson P, et al.; ATS/ERS Task Force. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J* 2005;26:319–338.
13. Vestbo J, Hurd SS, Agusti AG, Jones PW, Vogelmeier C, Anzueto A, Barnes PJ, Fabbri LM, Martinez FJ, Nishimura M, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013;187:347–365.
14. Wan ES, Castaldi PJ, Cho MH, Hokanson JE, Regan EA, Make BJ, Beaty TH, Han MK, Curtis JL, Curran-Everett D, et al.; COPDGene Investigators. Epidemiology, genetics, and subtyping of preserved ratio impaired spirometry (PRISM) in COPDGene. *Respir Res* 2014; 15:89.
15. Jones PW, Quirk FH, Baveystock CM, Littlejohns P. A self-complete measure of health status for chronic airflow limitation. The St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1992;145:1321–1327.
16. Mahler DA, Wells CK. Evaluation of clinical methods for rating dyspnea. *Chest* 1988;93:580–586.
17. Busacker A, Newell JD Jr, Keefe T, Hoffman EA, Granroth JC, Castro M, Fain S, Wenzel S. A multivariate analysis of risk factors for the air-trapping asthmatic phenotype as measured by quantitative CT analysis. *Chest* 2009;135:48–56.
18. Niewoehner DE, Kleinerman J, Rice DB. Pathologic changes in the peripheral airways of young cigarette smokers. *N Engl J Med* 1974; 291:755–758.
19. Mohamed Hoesein FA, de Hoop B, Zanen P, Gietema H, Kruitwagen CL, van Ginneken B, Isgum I, Mol C, van Klaveren RJ, Dijkstra AE, et al. CT-quantified emphysema in male heavy smokers: association with lung function decline. *Thorax* 2011;66:782–787.
20. Boes JL, Hoff BA, Bule M, Johnson TD, Rehemtulla A, Chamberlain R, Hoffman EA, Kazerooni EA, Martinez FJ, Han MK, et al. Parametric response mapping monitors temporal changes on lung CT scans in the subpopulations and intermediate outcome measures in COPD Study (SPIROMICS). *Acad Radiol* 2015;22:186–194.
21. Lange P, Celli B, Agusti A, Boje Jensen G, Divo M, Faner R, Guerra S, Marott JL, Martinez FD, Martinez-Camblor P, et al. Lung-function trajectories leading to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:111–122.
22. Suissa S. Immortal time bias in pharmaco-epidemiology. *Am J Epidemiol* 2008;167:492–499.