

Use of predictive algorithms in-home monitoring of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma: A systematic review

Daniel Sanchez-Morillo¹, Miguel A Fernandez-Granero¹
and Antonio Leon-Jimenez²

Abstract

Major reported factors associated with the limited effectiveness of home telemonitoring interventions in chronic respiratory conditions include the lack of useful early predictors, poor patient compliance and the poor performance of conventional algorithms for detecting deteriorations. This article provides a systematic review of existing algorithms and the factors associated with their performance in detecting exacerbations and supporting clinical decisions in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or asthma. An electronic literature search in Medline, Scopus, Web of Science and Cochrane library was conducted to identify relevant articles published between 2005 and July 2015. A total of 20 studies (16 COPD, 4 asthma) that included research about the use of algorithms in telemonitoring interventions in asthma and COPD were selected. Differences on the applied definition of exacerbation, telemonitoring duration, acquired physiological signals and symptoms, type of technology deployed and algorithms used were found. Predictive models with good clinically reliability have yet to be defined, and are an important goal for the future development of telehealth in chronic respiratory conditions. New predictive models incorporating both symptoms and physiological signals are being tested in telemonitoring interventions with positive outcomes. However, the underpinning algorithms behind these models need be validated in larger samples of patients, for longer periods of time and with well-established protocols. In addition, further research is needed to identify novel predictors that enable the early detection of deteriorations, especially in COPD. Only then will telemonitoring achieve the aim of preventing hospital admissions, contributing to the reduction of health resource utilization and improving the quality of life of patients.

Keywords

Algorithms, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, decision support systems, exacerbations, hospitalization/statistics, machine learning, prediction, predictive analytics, physiological measurements, predictive analytics, pulmonary disease, telemedicine, telemonitoring

Introduction

Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) have attracted research interest as a major public health problem of increasing concern to health-care systems worldwide because of high prevalence¹ and rising socioeconomic burden.^{2,3}

Reducing the impact of exacerbations through the early recognition of symptoms and prompt treatment may reduce the risk of hospitalization, improve

¹ Biomedical Engineering and Telemedicine Research Group, University of Cádiz, Puerto Real, Cádiz, Spain

² Pulmonology, Allergy and Thoracic Surgery Unit, Puerta del Mar University Hospital, Cádiz, Spain

Corresponding author:

Daniel Sánchez-Morillo, University of Cádiz, Puerto Real, Cádiz, Spain.
Email: daniel.morillo@uca.es

health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and control the burden of COPD.⁴ In asthma, self-management involving prompt intervention in line with a personalized asthma action plan reduces acute exacerbations.^{5,6} Education, self-monitoring and regular review are recommended to improve outcomes and prevent exacerbations in both conditions.^{7,8} New information and communication technologies and telemonitoring can potentially support patient self-management.

Home telemonitoring encompasses the non-invasive exchange of information using electronic devices and telecommunication technologies and allows clinical data to be collected remotely on a routine and regular basis. Telehealth-enabled chronic care management services are promoted as being effective in supporting people with long-term conditions at home,⁹ and the telemonitoring of patients with chronic respiratory conditions has become a major research field of the respiratory community because of its potential to promote self-management, improve control, increase quality of life and prevent admissions.

Some authors have argued that telemonitoring is a promising alternative – or adjunct – to provision of traditional healthcare services both in asthma and COPD.¹⁰ However, although some studies have shown that telemonitoring may improve some clinical outcomes and reduce healthcare costs,^{11,12} the effects of telehealth interventions on emergency department attendance, hospital admissions, duration of admissions, HRQoL, costs and mortality remain less certain.^{10,13–16}

Major reported factors associated with the apparent lack of effectiveness of telemonitoring in COPD and asthma include the dearth of useful early predictors of deteriorations using a telemonitoring approach,¹⁷ poor patient compliance with telemonitoring tasks^{18,19} and the poor performance of conventional algorithms (typically derived from studies of paper-based symptom diaries²⁰), for detecting exacerbations.²¹ Among other factors described in the telehealth literature are poor integration into routine healthcare and into the day-to-day lives of patient.^{14,22,23} Developing accurate predictive algorithms with demonstrable clinical reliability is a priority for the future consolidation of telemonitoring of chronic respiratory diseases.¹³

Home telemonitoring enables the collection of large data sets at the individual level. The application of predictive analytics to derive effective preventive algorithms using these data sets and data available from existing electronic medical records

(including data on antibiotic/steroid use and hospital admission) may improve outcomes, enhance disease management and patients' experiences and increase effectiveness and cost-efficiency of telemonitoring.²⁴ In this context, recent advances in data mining and machine learning methods offer the opportunity to combine prior knowledge of the clinical context with telemonitoring data sets to reveal predictive patterns.²⁵

Given the current lack of an existing systematic synthesis of data in this area, this manuscript aims to provide a thorough review of the algorithms used for detecting exacerbations and supporting clinical decision in patients with respiratory conditions, (more specifically in COPD and asthma) that have been reported in home telemonitoring studies. The study looks at significant service-related factors associated with the performance of home monitoring algorithms such as the technology deployed, data collection rate, system interoperability, the agreed exacerbation definition, trial factors such as sample size and study duration, and the machine learning techniques used in the reviewed studies.

Theoretical background

This section includes a brief overview of the fields of predictive analytics and machine learning and their application to the healthcare context.

Predictive analytics in healthcare

Healthcare system use, clinical trials and real-time telemonitoring systems are providing data sets larger than are manageable by conventional management tools.²⁶ Data science is an emerging discipline that combines and draws connections between the fields of statistics and information and computer science, which can support the management of these complex and large data sets. In the prediction of health outcomes, conventional statistical analysis is usually applied by calculating scores for risk stratification. Generally, the underlying belief is that a small number of important variables exist on which the model can rely. However, these variables typically interact with each other in a concealed way which means that often they are not retained in the predictive model.²⁷ Predictive analytics, a subset of data science, is based on inductive inference rather than classical statistics and is well suited to the analysis of high-dimensional data sets and to the automated knowledge discovery process.²⁸

Predictive analytics refers to the systematic use of statistical or machine learning methods to make predictions and support decision-making.²⁹ It uses computational techniques rooted in several domains including statistics, database management, artificial intelligence, machine learning, pattern recognition and data visualization. Currently, predictive analytics is being applied in many fields such as public safety³⁰ and security,³¹ cybersecurity³² and social media.³³ In healthcare, health analytics offers methods that can contribute to the potential improvement of patient care by supporting and enhancing medical decision-making.²⁵

Within predictive analytics, modelling takes data with a known variable of interest or *target* variable and a series of predictor values or *features*. A model that relates the target variable and the features is then developed. Therefore, the two basic components involved in predictive analytics are (a) the data that underlie the model and (b) the set of mathematical techniques that are applied to the data to draw inference. In telehealthcare, clinical prediction models usually manage data that include patient, disease and environmental characteristics as well as treatment information to predict a diagnostic or prognostic outcome.

Machine learning. Machine learning is the crucial methodology in predictive analytics. Conventional statistical analysis focuses on explaining data and relies on an expert (i.e. human) to formulate and discover cause–effect relationships, driven by a set of predefined assumptions. Machine learning is more data-focused and orientated to generating hypotheses and building predictive models using algorithms.³⁴ Through machine learning, a computer is programmed to reveal hidden relationships that explain or predict a particular outcome. The resulting predictive model may be improved over time with increased number of cases.

Machine learning works by (a) defining goals, (b) exploring data and (c) training, refining and validating models. Supervised, unsupervised and complementary algorithms can be used in the process. Supervised learning includes two categories of algorithms. If the output consists of one or more continuous variables, regression algorithms are used. Classification algorithms are applied for categorical response values.³⁵ In the case of telemonitoring and early detection of patients' deterioration, supervised learning (classification algorithms) can be used to

model a health-related outcome (e.g. alarm state because of exacerbation of respiratory symptoms) using a collection of a priori known inputs and outputs (training data) and exploring interactions to produce an inferred function (classifier) that can predict a response (output) given a valid set of predictor values. Common classification algorithms for supervised learning in the healthcare field include artificial neural networks,³⁶ decision trees,³⁷ random forests,³⁸ Bayesian networks,³⁹ *k*-nearest neighbors,⁴⁰ support vector machines,⁴¹ linear discriminant analysis,⁴² *k*-means clustering⁴³ and logistic regression.⁴⁴

There are three stages involved in machine learning: training, validation and testing of the algorithm. In practice, machine learning algorithms are *trained* by estimation of unknown internal parameters using a trial/study data set in which category labels are manually assigned to predictors (e.g. defined exacerbations). The model then needs to be *validated* and *tested* to quantify its performance. Experimental validation using an external data set is the best method of validating a model and ensuring generalizability. However, in telehealthcare interventions, to acquire further samples is costly and the amount of manually labelled data available is small. In this scenario, different strategies can be followed for internally evaluating the model. When large data sets are available, data may be randomly divided into three parts: training, testing and validation sets. The training set is used to build the model via a learning algorithm and to identify discriminating features of the predictor variables. Occasionally, different models can be combined to achieve results that outperform any of the individual models. This is known as an *ensemble* of classifiers.⁴⁵ The validation set is used to assess how well the model performs against real data, to ensure stability and, in some cases, to fine-tune the model. The test set is used to assess the prediction error of the final model. The splitting of available data into two disjoint sets (training and test set) is also a widespread practice. In data-poor situations, when disjoint sets cannot be created, resampling methods such as cross-validation and bootstrapping may be used for internal validity.⁴⁶

Methodology

Search strategy, eligibility criteria and study selection

We undertook a systematic review, reported according to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic

Reviews and Meta-Analyses standards⁴⁷ with searches conducted in Medline, Scopus, Web of Science and Cochrane library in July 2015. Search terms were based on a combination of the following keywords: [telemonitoring OR telecare OR telehealth OR telehomecare OR home monitoring] AND [COPD OR chronic obstructive pulmonary disease OR asthma OR respiratory diseases]. Additional searches were performed in the references cited in the finally selected articles to find relevant additional studies.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) the study involved home telemonitoring of COPD or asthma and described the algorithms used to detect episodes, (2) the study presented results on the performance of algorithms for the automatic prediction and/or detection of respiratory exacerbations, and (3) the article was written in English and published in peer-reviewed journals from 2005. This date was chosen according to the findings of a recent systematic review that reported the majority of the articles that described home telemonitoring interventions were published from 2010 to the present, suggesting that this is a relatively new field in respiratory research.⁴⁸

Some small pilot and feasibility studies were included because the expected outcomes were directly related to the design and implementation of algorithms for the early detection of respiratory exacerbations. Conference, posters abstracts and general reviews were not considered. Publications that focused on settings or conditions other than home telemonitoring in COPD and asthma were excluded.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Two authors (DS and MAF) extracted the data from the selected studies and a third author (AL) checked for inconsistencies. The following data were extracted and are summarized in three tables. Table 1 reports the first author's last name, year of publication, country where the study was conducted, type of patients (e.g. disease) to which telemonitoring was applied, duration, study design, number of subjects that completed the telemonitoring study, admissions and/or exacerbations in the telemonitoring group and the exacerbation criteria applied. Table 2 summarizes the specification of clinical and physiological parameters collected in the telemonitoring (e.g. symptoms and physiological variables), the features and algorithms used for triggering automatic alerts and the personalization strategies. Table 3 describes the technology deployed during the study, provides details about data

transmission, patients' compliance with remote monitoring tasks and study outcomes.

The quality of included studies was independently evaluated by two reviewers using a modified version⁴⁹ of the tool developed by Hailey et al.⁵⁰ Articles were rated from A (high quality and high degree of confidence in the study findings) to E (poor quality and unacceptable uncertainty in the study findings). Disagreements were resolved by consensus.

Because of the diverse outcome measurements and the heterogeneous nature of data collected and clinical diversity of the studies, a meta-analysis was not performed; instead we used a narrative synthesis.

Results

Study selection

Totally, 407 articles were identified with the search strategy. After removing duplicates and review articles, 189 articles were retained. Two researchers screened title, abstract and keywords (DSM and MAF) to determine eligibility for this review. These potentially relevant articles were examined and 32 articles with potential significance for this study were selected and full text was retrieved. The bibliography of each manuscript was screened and four additional relevant studies were identified. Full-text articles were assessed for eligibility, and finally, 20 were included. The majority were from European countries, with two from United States and one each from Canada and Australia.

Study characteristics and quality assessment

Study characteristics are presented in Table 1. Sixteen studies focused on COPD^{13,51–65} and four^{66–69} studies involved patients with asthma. Nine of the studies were controlled trials, six were prospective cohort studies, four were pilot/feasibility studies and one was an uncontrolled before and after studies. The number of telemonitored patients that completed the studies ranged from 5 to 169. Two studies used the same data set.^{59–63}

With respect to the quality assessment, 11 studies were rated A (high quality), 7 were rated B (good quality) and 2 were rated D (poor to fair quality).

Home telemonitoring duration, exacerbation criteria and admissions

Duration of the telemonitoring period ranged from 3 to 15 months (Table 1). Ten studies had a duration

Table 1. Description of the characteristics of the selected studies for telemonitoring COPD and asthma.

First author	Year	Country	Disease	Length of follow-up	Study design	Telemonitored patients that completed the study	Admissions and exacerbations in telemonitoring group	Exacerbation criteria
Deschildre ⁶⁶	2012	France	Asthma	12 months	RCT	15	2 (median) exacerbations per patient/year	Defined by a systemic corticosteroid course during the follow-up
Finkelstein ⁶⁷	2013	United States	Asthma	7000 records	Data from a previous prospective observational trial ⁷⁸	26	148 exacerbations. Admissions not detailed.	Not specified
Kupczyk ⁶⁸	2013	Sweden	Asthma	12 months	Cohort study	169	122 exacerbations, ATS/ERS criteria	
van Vliet ⁶⁹	2015	The Netherlands	Asthma	12 months	Prospective, observational	94	14 admissions	ATS/ERS criteria.
Trappenburg ⁵¹	2008	The Netherlands	COPD	6 months	Multicentre, RCT	59	77 exacerbations. Admissions not detailed.	
Koff ⁶⁵	2009	United States	COPD	3 months	RCT	19	59 exacerbations, 38 admissions	Anthonisen criteria
Sund ⁵²	2009	United Kingdom	COPD	6 months	Uncontrolled before-after study	18	9 exacerbations. 1 admission.	Anthonisen criteria
Halpin ⁵³	2011	United Kingdom	COPD	4 months	RCT	77	75 exacerbations, 6 admissions	Increase in symptoms or the patient initiated the use of antibiotics and/or prednisolone.
Jensen ⁵⁴ Dinesen ⁷⁹ Haesum ⁸⁰	2012	Denmark	COPD	4 months	RCT (a study conducted for a different purpose)	57	3 hospitalization	Anthonisen criteria
Yañez ⁵⁵	2012	Spain	COPD	3 months	Multicentre, prospective cohort study.	89	Admissions not detailed. 10 exacerbations accounted.	Hospital admission, administration of antibiotics or corticosteroids in combination with worsening of specific symptoms.
Heijden ⁵⁶	2013	The Netherlands	COPD	9 days	Pilot study	5	30 admissions	Not specified
Johnston ⁵⁷	2013	Canada	COPD	15 months	Prospective, observational	49 (46 with an extended the period)	191 exacerbations	Explored the performance of the system with three different approaches: symptoms, drugs and contact.
							148 admissions	Anthonisen criteria

(continued)

Table 1. (continued)

First author	Year	Country	Disease	Length of follow-up	Study design	Telemонitored patients that completed the study	Admissions and exacerbations in telemonitoring group	Exacerbation criteria
Pedone ⁵⁸	2013	Italy	COPD	9 months	RCT	50	0.13 per patient/ year	Increase in symptoms that necessitates a change in regular medication.
Pinnock ¹³	2013	United Kingdom	COPD	12 months	Multicentre, RCT	127	1.2 per patient/ year with a diagnosis of AECOPD.	Increase in symptoms that necessitates a change in regular medication.
Granero ⁵⁹	2014	Spain	COPD	6 months	Pilot study	15	41 exacerbations.	Anthonisen criteria
Segrelles ⁶⁰ Calvo ⁶⁴	2014	Spain	COPD	7 months	Controlled, non-blind clinical trial Pilot study	26	12 admissions	Adapted from the GOLD definition.
Burton ⁶⁰	2015	United Kingdom	COPD	200 days		19	172 exacerbations.	Anthonisen criteria or antibiotics intake.
Harding ⁶¹	2015	United Kingdom	COPD	6 months	Cohort study	18	7 admissions	Admissions not detailed.
Mohktar ⁶² Antoniades ⁸¹	2015	Australia	COPD	12 months	RCT. Data from a previously reported home telehealth study. ⁸¹ Pilot study	21	1.3 per patient/ year	The patient initiated the use of either antibiotics, oral steroids or both antibiotics and steroids.
Morillo ⁶³	2015	Spain	COPD	6 months		15	33 exacerbations.	Adapted from the GOLD definition.
							Admissions not detailed.	The patient initiated the use of either antibiotics, oral steroids or both antibiotics and steroids as well as visits to emergency unit or admissions.

RCT: randomized controlled trial, ATS: American Thoracic Society, ERS: European Respiratory Society, GOLD: Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease.

Table 2. Specification of clinical and physiological parameters under telemonitoring and features, algorithms and personalisation strategies.

Authors	Year	Symptoms	SpO ₂	LF	BP	HR	W	T	RR	LS	PA	Other	Features extraction methods	Personalization, training and validation	Automatic algorithm
Deschildre ⁶⁶	2012	■	■											Intervention if FEV ₁ \geq 80%	
Finkelstein ⁶⁷	2013	■ (paper)	■										MDL algorithm used to assess the rank and select attributes.	Naive Bayesian Classifier and Support Vector Machines.	
Kupczyk ⁶⁸	2013	■	■										Baseline defined as personal best during optimization phase of the study	Decrease in PEF on two consecutive days or a 20% increase in day symptoms on two consecutive days	
van Vliet ⁶⁹	2015	■	■										FeNO, EBC measured during clinical visits every 2 months.	k-NN algorithm using only FeNO and EBC	
Trappenburg ⁵¹	2008	■												Recognition of changes in defined patterns of major and minor respiratory symptoms. Three flags based on symptoms and monitored parameters	
Kof ⁶⁵	2009	■	■	■	■	■								Automatic threshold based. Time score plot for symptoms and FEV ₁ .	
Sund ⁵²	2009	■	■	■	■	■							Baseline defined as exacerbation-free period of 14 days.	Automatic threshold based. Increase in total score \geq 12 above baseline for 2 days.	
Halpin ⁵³	2011	■											Personalized baseline recalculated every 4 weeks unless an event occurred.		

(continued)

Table 2. (continued)

Authors	Year	Symptoms	SpO ₂	LF	BP	HR	W	RR	LS	PA	Other	Features extraction methods	Personalization, training and validation	Automatic algorithm
Jensen ⁵⁴ Dinesen ⁷⁹ Haesum ⁸⁰	2012	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	273 features extracted. Mean, SD of features 5 to 30-days prior to exacerbations. SEPCOR algorithm for dimensionality reduction.	Leave-one-episode- out cross validation.	Treated as a pattern recognition problem. Linear discriminant functions to predict the risk of exacerbation in the next 30 days.
Yañez ⁵⁵	2012	■										Baseline of 5 days prior to exacerbation.	Automatic threshold based. Increase in breathing rate.	
Heijden ⁵⁶	2013	■	■	■	■	■						Predictions personalized by entering patient-specific data. Two data sets used for model validation with a total of 99 patients.	Expert knowledge-based model. Bayesian network model. Status. Probabilistic model incorporated in the smartphone.	
Johnston ⁵⁷	2013	■										Baseline for each symptom defined as the scoring on a given day.	Threshold based. Alerts were triggered for symptom changes (one or more symptoms moved up two levels of severity on a given day or any symptom reached its worst level on a given day), missed diary transmissions or medical care.	
Pedone ⁵⁸	2013	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	Alerts customized for patients by physicians on the basis of the patient's clinical situation.	Automatic threshold based. Predefined range. No further details provided.	
Pinnock ¹³	2013	■	■									Oxygen saturation alert was able to be defined on an individual patient basis.	Threshold based. Symptom scores.	

(continued)

Table 2. (continued)

Authors	Year	Symptoms	SpO ₂	LF	BP	HR	W	T	RR	LS	PA	Other	Features extraction methods	Personalization, training and validation	Automatic algorithm
Granero ⁵⁹	2014	■											Average scores for total, major, minor and complementary symptoms.	Ten-fold Cross validation.	Probabilistic neural network.
Segrelles Calvo ⁶⁴	2014	■	■	■	■	■							Thresholds were defined by personal patient's limits.	Thresholds defined by personal patient's limits.	Thresholds were defined with 'personal limits'.
Burton ⁶⁰	2015	■	■	■	■	■							Personalization discussed as a future improvement	Multilevel logistic regression was used to examine the association between FEV ₁ , pulse and SpO ₂ and total symptom score.	Multilevel logistic regression was used to examine the association between FEV ₁ , pulse and SpO ₂ and total symptom score.
Hardinge ⁶¹	2015	■	■		■								PDF and CDF estimated for each data type.	Automatic threshold. Patients-specific alert thresholds value derived from 95th centile of CDF.	CART algorithm attempted to predict the patient's condition one day in advance.
Mohktar ⁶² Antoniades ⁸¹	2015	■		■	■	■	■	■	■				Selected predictors were FEV ₁ , SpO ₂ , respiratory rate, heart rate, temperature and weight. Features extracted were mean and standard deviation, the percentage change of the parameter from the distribution mean; and the standard score or z-score.	Leave one subject out cross validation.	Comparison of their current physiological measurements against the distribution of their measurements over the previous month.
Morillo ⁶³	2015	■											Average scores for total, major, minor and complementary symptoms.	Ten-fold Cross validation.	Treated as a pattern recognition problem. <i>k</i> -means clustering with symptom scores. Lung sounds not reported.

FEV₁: Forced expiratory volume in 1 second, LF: lung function, BP: blood pressure, HR: heart rate, W: weight, T: temperature, RR: respiratory rate, LS: lung sounds; PA: physical activity, SD: standard deviation, FeNO: Fractional exhaled nitric oxide, EBC: exhaled breath condensate, PEF: peak expiratory flow, PDF: probability density function, CDF: cumulative density function, CART: classification and regression tree, MDL: minimum description length.

Table 3. Equipment, type of monitored data, characteristics of data transmission and compliance of participants with telemonitoring tasks.

Authors	Year	Equipment	Data collection rate	Compliance	Performance
Deschildre ⁶⁶	2012	Symptoms recorded in a paper diary. Portable spirometer.	Daily	Between 30% and 96%	The hypothesis that daily home telemonitoring of FEV_1 would be superior to conventional management in terms of severe exacerbations and healthcare use was not supported. Using a 7-day window, a support vector machine was able to predict asthma exacerbation in day 8 with Se of 84% and Sp of 77%. Naïve Bayesian classifier showed a Se of 80% and Sp of 77%.
Finkelstein ⁶⁷	2013	Laptop computer with a peak exploratory flow meter.	Daily		
Kupczyk ⁶⁸	2013	Home monitor, portable spirometer and peak-flow meter	Daily	86.6% and 79.4% in patients with severe and mild asthma.	The algorithm was able to detect severe exacerbations with Se of 65% and Sp of 95%.
van Vliet ⁶⁹	2015	Home monitor, a portable spirometer.	Daily, but transmitted twice a week.	87.3%	The predictive power of FeNO and inflammatory markers in EBC for prediction of an asthma exacerbation was low, even when combined with clinical characteristics and symptoms. k-NN provided 52% of accuracy. The AUC for the model was 59%.
Trappenburg ⁵¹	2008	Home monitor (Health Buddy).	Daily		The telemoitored group showed a significant decrease in hospital admission rates and exacerbations. 9 red flags activated. One resulted in a hospitalization.
Koff ⁶⁵	2009	Home monitor (Health Buddy), Pulsiometer, FEV_1 monitor and pedometer.	Daily		
Sund ⁵²	2009	PDA and a portable spirometer.	Daily	77%	55 (73%) of exacerbations detected, 37 by symptom score, 6 by $>10\%$ decline in FEV_1 only and 12 by symptoms and decline in $FEV_1 > 10\%$.
Halpin ⁵³	2011	Blackberry smartphone	Daily	96%	EXACT-PRO did not appear able to detect exacerbations neither severity nor duration.

(continued)

Table 3. (continued)

Authors	Year	Equipment	Data collection rate	Compliance	Performance
Jensen ⁵⁴ Dinesen ⁷⁹ Haesum ⁸⁰	2012	Home monitor, digital body weight scale, blood pressure meter, pulse oximeter, spirometer and step counter.	Variable		Prediction of risk of exacerbation in the next 30 days. Se of 70%, Sp of 95%. PPV of 75% and NPV of 94.7%. Not useful to help with self-administration of medication. Seven of ten exacerbations detected. AUC = 0.73.
Yañez ⁵⁵	2012	Respiratory monitor installed in the domiciliary oxygen supply.	Recorded daily. Scored in local memory.		24 h before admission, a mean increase of 4.4/min (30% from baseline) provided the best combination of Se of 66% and Sp of 93%. (AUC = 0.79). Two days before hospitalization, a mean increase of 2.3/min (15% change from baseline) was associated with a Se of 72% and a Sp of 77% (AUC = 0.76).
Heijden ⁵⁶	2013	Smartphone, spirometer and pulse oximeter.	Variable		System evaluated on offline databases and for different definitions of exacerbations. In dataset B, true positive rate of 0.88, false positive rate of 0.20, AUC = 0.87.
Johnston ⁵⁷	2013	Blackberry smartphone.	Daily	100%	Respiratory symptom scores before exacerbations and after the participant reported a return to normal breathing showed no differences.
Pedone ⁵⁸	2013	Mobile phone, pulse oximeter and a wrist band with sensors for heart rate, physical activity, near body temperature and galvanic skin response.	Wristband, transparent for user, 5 times/hour. Pulse-oximeter, daily.		Only oxygen saturation could identify timely exacerbations. Reference to possible phenotypic variability in COPD. Telemonitoring could cut by 33% the risk for hospitalizations. However, the average length of stay was longer in the study than in the control group.
Pinnock ¹³	2013	Touch screen, pulse oximeter.	Daily		No benefits found in automatic generated alerts in term of clinical outcomes. The authors claim for the lack of early predictors of exacerbations and accurate algorithms.

(continued)

Table 3. (continued)

Authors	Year	Equipment	Data collection rate	Compliance	Performance
Granero ⁵⁹	2014	Ad-hoc tablet and electronic stethoscope.	Daily		Early prediction of COPD exacerbations with a margin, as average, of 4.8 days. Detection accuracy of 80.5%.
Segrelles Calvo ⁶⁴	2014	Portable spirometer, pulse oximeter, heart rate monitor and blood pressure monitor	Daily	Median of telemonitoring time was 72.5%.	50 clinical alerts identified. 12 exacerbations not detected. False positives automatically triggered were not reported.
Burton ⁶⁰	2015	Touch screen, pulse oximeter and a portable spirometer.	Daily		Three patterns of exacerbations detected. Compared to baseline, at the onset of exacerbations, the mean pulse rate increased from 87 to 94 /min and the mean SpO ₂ fell from 93.6 to 92.4%. Physiological variables did not differentiate between exacerbations and isolated bad days.
Hardinge ⁶¹	2015	Tablet computer, pulse oximeter.	Daily	Within 3 months, 95% of sessions completed.	189 automatic alerts, 37 events. 40% of exacerbations had an alert signal during the three days prior to the event.
Mohktar ⁶² and Antoniades ⁸¹	2015, 2012	Laptop computer, blood pressure monitor, pulse oximeter, stethoscope, thermometer, scale, pneumotachograph, electrocardiogram touch plate and thermometer.	Daily	Pending on reporting results.	The CART algorithm could classify home telehealth measurement data into either a 'low risk' or 'high risk' category with a 71.8% accuracy, Se of 61.1% and Sp of 80.4%. No reduction in admissions reported. PPV of 71.4%, NPV of 72.0%.
Morillo ⁶³	2015	Ad-hoc tablet and electronic stethoscope.	Daily		Early prediction of COPD exacerbations with a margin, as average, of 4.5 days. Se and Sp were 74.6% and 89.7%, respectively, and AUC was 0.84. 31 of 33 AECOPD. Mention to possible phenotypic variability in COPD.

FEV₁: Forced expiratory volume in 1 second, Se: sensitivity, AUC: area under the received operating characteristic curve, PPV: positive predicted value, FeNO: fractional exhaled nitric oxide, EBC: exhaled breath condensate, k-NN: k-nearest neighbour, CART: classification and regression tree, NPV: negative predictive value, AECOPD: exacerbation of COPD.

greater than 6 months, five studies had a duration of 6 months and five studies had a duration shorter than 6 months.

An 'event-based' definition of an exacerbation,⁷⁰ including self-administration of medication or unscheduled visits to emergency units and/or admissions, was used in nine studies ($n = 6$ in COPD and $n = 3$ in asthma studies). Symptom-based definitions of exacerbation (e.g. Anthonisen criteria⁷¹) were used in seven COPD studies. Two studies did not detail the criteria used and the remaining two studies explored different definitions of exacerbation.

The following symptom questionnaires were used in the studies: Clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ),⁷² exacerbations of chronic pulmonary disease Tool (EXACT)- patient-reported outcome (PRO),⁷³ St Georges Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ),⁷⁴ Paediatric Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (PAQLQ),⁷⁵ Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ)⁷⁶ along with a variety of ad-hoc questionnaires for COPD and asthma.

The number of admissions in each study caused by worsening in respiratory symptoms ranged from 1 to 148. The maximum number of recorded exacerbations during a study was 191 for a telemonitored group of 49 subjects. The highest average number of exacerbations was 9 per patient.

Factors that influence algorithms' performance

Clinical data, sensors and devices. Tables 2 and 3 present information about the telemonitoring system deployed during the study period. Clinical telemonitored data consisted of symptoms collected using electronic questionnaires ($n = 3$), physiological measurements ($n = 4$) or a combination of symptoms and physiological measurements ($n = 13$). The data acquisition process was similar in all studies. Typically, symptoms were reported using electronic diaries completed via the telemonitoring device. The physiological parameters were collected via various peripherals and transmitted by telemonitoring system. All of asthma studies recorded lung function (peak expiratory flow (PEF) in two cases and forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) in three studies), as well as symptoms. A wider range of telemonitored physiological variables were recorded in COPD: lung function using FEV₁ ($n = 6$), peak-flow ($n = 1$) and forced vital capacity (FVC) ($n = 1$), oximetry ($n = 9$), heart rate ($n = 7$), weight ($n = 2$), respiratory rate ($n = 2$), physical activity ($n = 3$),

blood pressure ($n = 3$), body temperature ($n = 2$) and lung sounds ($n = 2$).

Data were recorded at least on a daily basis in all studies. More specifically, data were gathered more than once per day ($n = 1$), with adjustable frequency ($n = 2$), or recorded daily although transmitted twice a week ($n = 1$). Patient collaboration was always required during data acquisition excepting in one study in COPD where a wristband recorded heart rate, physical activity, temperature and galvanic skin response and transmitted the physiological information several times per hour without requiring patient intervention.

Feature extraction, personalization and model validation. There was limited description of how the collected data were processed. Mean ($n = 4$), standard deviation, the percentage of change of the parameter from the distribution mean and the standard score or z-score of parameters were among the used features ($n = 2$). Two studies applied statistical methods to select predictive features. The heuristic 'SEPCOR' algorithm that is based on the variability measure of each feature,⁷⁷ and the minimum description length algorithm were each used in one study.

In the studies that reported predictive analytic models, personalization of the algorithm was generally discussed as a future improvement ($n = 3$). Personalized predictions by entering patient-specific data were implemented in two studies. Model validation was performed through different approaches: holdout validation ($n = 2$), 10-fold cross validation ($n = 2$), leave-one-episode-out cross validation ($n = 1$) and leave-one-subject-out cross validation ($n = 1$).

Algorithms for automatic detection of health deterioration

Different strategies were followed to detect early exacerbations of respiratory symptoms. In the simplest approach, the decision support consisted of a basic decision rule based on assigning threshold values to the collected parameters ($n = 12$). This resulted in an alert when scores crossed the predefined threshold indicating deterioration in the patient's condition. In the remaining studies, the challenge of early detection of exacerbations was addressed using machine learning techniques ($n = 8$). More specifically, naïve Bayesian classifiers ($n = 1$), support vector machines ($n = 1$), probabilistic neural networks ($n = 1$), a

Bayesian network model ($n = 1$) and k -NN ($n = 1$) were among the techniques utilized. In addition, classification and regression trees ($n = 1$), multi-level logistic regression ($n = 1$), linear discriminant analysis ($n = 1$) and clustering techniques like k -means clustering ($n = 1$) were alternatively implemented.

In some studies, predictions were personalized by entering patient-specific data or by modelling individual characteristics. In the case of a decision rule based on score thresholds, an individual baseline was established according to different criteria ($n = 9$). In asthma, a non-personalized approach that used a fixed common threshold of 80% of FEV₁ for all patients was also reported ($n = 1$). Recognition of changes in previously defined patterns of major and minor respiratory symptoms was also implemented ($n = 2$).

When used, the baseline was defined in various ways: as an exacerbation-free period of 14 days ($n = 1$), at the beginning of the study ($n = 1$), recalculated every 4 weeks unless an exacerbation occurred ($n = 1$), as the average of the last 10 days of the treatment optimization period ($n = 1$), using 5 days prior to exacerbation ($n = 1$), defined as the scoring of a given day ($n = 1$) or calculated as 95th centile of the probability density function ($n = 1$).

Results in terms of system performance were not always reported. In COPD, when reported, accuracy in detecting exacerbations ranged from 40% to 94%, sensitivity from 70% to 80% and specificity from 61% to 95%. In asthma, only one study reported accuracy (52%), whilst achieved sensitivity ranged from 65% to 84% and specificity from 77% to 95%.

Discussion

This systematic literature review provides a description of the algorithms used in home telemonitoring interventions in COPD and asthma for supporting clinical decision and summarizes the findings related to factors associated with the performance of home monitoring algorithms. Reviewed studies were heterogeneous in terms of their definition of exacerbation, telemonitoring duration, telemonitored measurements, type of technology deployed and algorithms used. In asthma, the home telemonitoring comprised symptoms and lung function (PEF and FEV₁); in COPD a much wider range of physiological measures were used.

Home telemonitoring duration, exacerbation criteria and admissions

A common limitation in the selected studies was the examination of a relatively small group of patients who presented a high rate of exacerbations. Winter periods were extensively selected for trials because exacerbations are more likely than in other seasons.⁵¹ Although this may have enabled recruitment of 'at-risk' populations it may have affected generalizability of results. Randomized controlled trials with a larger sample of patients over periods longer than 6 months might improve the robustness of algorithms and the generalizability of their findings.^{62,82}

The lack of consensus on a definition of an exacerbation⁸³ and unreported episodes that are poorly labelled in data sets⁸⁴ may adversely affect algorithms' performance. Identifying an exacerbation episode is dependent on establishing a link between the characteristics being measured and exacerbations. Asthma attacks are relatively clearly defined; in COPD the absence of a gold standard definition makes identifying exacerbations difficult. Of the questionnaires CCQ, PAQLQ, ACQ and SQRG widely used in the reviewed studies, only EXACT-PRO was specifically designed and validated for detecting COPD exacerbations. Finally, in most of studies patients were given self-management advise encouraging them to intervene, usually at quite an early stage, if parameters strayed outside of expected. This may have influenced some outcomes (e.g. hospital admission and length of exacerbation) possibly blunting the relationship between the original predictive features and outcomes.

Factors that influence algorithms' performance

Clinical data, sensors and devices. The combination of symptoms and physiological measurements was the most common approach in the selected works ($n = 13$). All the asthma studies utilized daily readings, though one only transmitted data twice weekly. In COPD, physiological measurements have not proved to be able to predict deteriorations, either because they change late in the time course of exacerbation, they cannot be measured reliably or because therapeutic interventions during the experiment alter the outcomes hindering the accuracy of algorithms.¹⁷ For this reason all studies used a daily data collection (only one study collected data daily but stored data in an internal memory of the

respiratory monitor). Whilst the 7-day recall scores and the daily diary scores have been found to be equivalent in detecting changes over time of the impact of COPD symptoms, only daily data seem to be suitable if the outcome of interest is detecting the onset of exacerbations.⁸⁵ One study used a compromise solution,⁵⁶ whereby the patient with a low risk of exacerbation monitored on a weekly basis and when risk increased according to the predictive model, reporting tasks could be scheduled daily to ensure timely detection.

Since learning algorithms and deployed predictive models rely on timely reporting of accurate data, good patient compliance with reporting tasks is needed. Perceived ease of use of home telehealth devices is a significant predictor of compliance.⁸⁶ Systems that offer a unified interface with contextual data on smartphones and provide interactive user feedback and self-adaptive sensor polling could be a solution to alleviate the patient burden of reporting tasks and optimize the quality of collected data.⁸⁷ One study, which used a wearable sensor that automatically transmitted heart rate, temperature and activity levels five times an hour, achieved average reporting rates of 60% though the reason for 40% loss of data was due to patients not wearing the device or technical failure is not reported.⁵⁸

Features extraction, personalization and model validation. Although feature extraction can help to build derived values that enhance the subsequent learning and generalization steps of algorithms, these techniques were seldom implemented in the selected studies. Additionally, personalization of predictive models that used machine learning techniques was only implemented in a single study.⁵⁶

With respect to the procedure to estimate the prediction error in studies that applied machine learning methods, different approaches were followed in six studies. None applied external validity and a number of internal validity methods based on holdout and cross validation techniques were reported. Holdout approaches work well in large data sets, but can give inaccurate performance estimations because the results are highly dependent on how the training and test sets are chosen.⁸⁸ Cross validation and bootstrapping can help to overcome this challenge, but the latter technique was not used in the reviewed works. Cross validation was used in different ways in four studies. It is advisable that none of the same person's measures are in the training set and in the

test set. Leave-one-subject-out cross-validation^{88,89} can allow for subject-to-subject variation,⁸⁸ but was not carried out for any of the machine learning experiments.

Algorithms for automatic detection of health deterioration and service implications

The evidence that telemonitoring can enhance outcomes and reduce costs remains unclear,¹⁰ in part because of the limited performance of the predictive models used.^{13,14,20} Conventional threshold-based algorithms, adopted in the majority of reviewed studies ($n = 12$), show poor performance in early detecting respiratory exacerbations or identifying severity and duration in COPD,^{13,53} with the best reported accuracy being 73% of exacerbations detected,⁵² best sensitivity/specificity 66%/93%⁵⁵ 24 h before hospitalization. COPD studies generally used symptoms scores occasionally in association with FEV₁, arterial oxygen saturation (SpO₂), heart and breathing rate, but there was no consistency between studies in terms of the threshold used or how the individual patient's baseline was established/calculated. In asthma, only one study using a threshold-based algorithm reported performance as a sensitivity of 65% and a specificity of 95%.⁶⁸

If a sensitivity level over 70% is considered acceptable,⁵⁴ the performance of current threshold-based algorithms may not be adequate for the real-time detection of events after experimental validation. As an alternative, the data-driven ($n = 7$) and knowledge-based ($n = 1$) approaches used in some of the reviewed studies provided encouraging results. With a purely data-driven approach, one of the studies used a probabilistic graphical model that incorporated clinical knowledge firstly defined by clinicians (based on their experience and knowledge) and later fine-tuned by experimental data. The transparency of knowledge-based models allows the system structure and inference to be understood by clinicians in contrast with black box approaches like data-driven models.⁹⁰

However, the use of semantic mapping between gathered remote telemonitoring data and existing patient-specific data and models to complement the predictive model using ontologies⁹¹ and standards^{92,93} was not found in the literature included in this review. Furthermore, the evaluation of strategies for connecting different predictive personalized models was not considered in the selected studies. Such

'ensemble modelling' could offer a compromise between clinical comprehension and statistical goodness of fit in order to improve the quality and robustness of the whole system.⁹⁴

Integration of telemonitoring services with the electronic healthcare systems used routinely by healthcare professionals was not described in any of the studies. Instead, an electronic health record was designed for the specific purpose of each trial. This lack of integration with the existing health record systems does not enable intelligent algorithms to interpret data from multiple sources.⁹⁵ In this context, novel systems⁹⁶ that automatically data-mine inpatient decision support from electronic medical records and that are predictive of real practice patterns and clinical outcomes are being evaluated with promising results.⁹⁷

Study limitations

The search was undertaken by a team with extensive experience of home telemonitoring studies and predictive analytics and using multiple databases. Despite this, the present work has limitations. Due to the heterogeneity of the data, a formal meta-analysis was not possible. The literature search was comprehensive but we may have missed home telemonitoring interventions including asthma or COPD but not specifically indexed as such, or because they were not written in English. A main limitation relates to the heterogeneous interventions, which makes comparisons hard and conclusions difficult to draw. Furthermore, the number of studies is insufficient to measure the potential of novel predictive models.

Conclusions

Our review of the algorithms used in home telemonitoring interventions in COPD and asthma suggests that the development of predictive models with clinically useful levels of accuracy, sensitivity and specificity has not yet been achieved.

A range of physiological measurements, consensus in the definition of exacerbations, achievement of high-compliance rates, the development and personalization of predictive models using machine learning techniques and the strategic importance of interoperability of telemonitoring systems with routine healthcare record systems have been discussed in this work as key factors that influence – or potentially could influence – the performance of systems for the remote monitoring of chronic respiratory patients.

New predictive models considering symptoms and physiological measures are being tested in pilot studies with positive outcomes. However, the algorithms that underpin these models have to be validated in large samples of patients, for longer periods of time and with well-established protocols. Further research is needed to identify new features associated with symptoms and physiological signals that enable the early detection of deteriorations, especially in COPD. Only then will telemonitoring achieve the aim of preventing hospital admissions, contributing to the reduction of health resource utilization and improving quality of life of patients.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Mathers CD and Loncar D. Projections of global mortality and burden of disease from 2002 to 2030. *PLoS Med* 2006; 3: e442.
2. Guarascio AJ, Ray SM, Finch CK, et al. The clinical and economic burden of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the USA. *Clinicoecon Outcomes Res* 2013; 5: 235–245.
3. Andersén H, Lampela P, Nevanlinna A, et al. High hospital burden in overlap syndrome of asthma and COPD. *Clin Respir J* 2013; 7: 342–346.
4. Wilkinson T and Wedzicha JA. Strategies for improving outcomes of COPD exacerbations. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2006; 1: 335–342.
5. Oborne J, Mortimer K, Hubbard RB, et al. Quadrupling the dose of inhaled corticosteroid to prevent asthma exacerbations: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009; 180: 598–602.
6. Taylor SJ, Pinnock H, Epiphanou E, et al. A rapid synthesis of the evidence on interventions supporting self-management for people with long-term conditions: PRISMS – practical systematic review of self-management support for long-term conditions. *Health Services and Delivery Research* 2014; 2: 1–580.

7. World Health Organization. Management of asthma, <http://www.who.int/respiratory/asthma/burden/en/> (accessed 26 July 2015).
8. World Health Organization. COPD management, <http://www.who.int/respiratory/copd/management/en/> (accessed 26 July 2015).
9. Stroetmann K, Kubitschke L, Robinson S, et al. How can telehealth help in the provision of integrated care? Health systems and policy analysis, policy brief, 13. World Health Organization 2010.
10. Nimmon L, Poureslami I and Fitzgerald M. Telehealth Interventions for Management of Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (COPD) and Asthma. *Int J Healthc Inf Syst Inform* 2013; 8: 37–56.
11. Lundell S, Holmner Å, Rehn B, et al. Telehealthcare in COPD: a systematic review and meta-analysis on physical outcomes and dyspnea. *Respir Med* 2015; 109: 11–26.
12. Cruz J, Brooks D and Marques A. Home telemonitoring effectiveness in COPD: a systematic review. *Int J Clin Pract* 2014; 68: 369–378.
13. Pinnock H, Hanley J, McCloghan L, et al. Effectiveness of telemonitoring integrated into existing clinical services on hospital admission for exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: researcher blind, multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2013; 347: f6070.
14. Bolton CE, Waters CS, Peirce S, et al. Insufficient evidence of benefit: a systematic review of home telemonitoring for COPD. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2011; 17: 1216–1222.
15. Cartwright M, Hirani SP, Rixon L, et al. Effect of telehealth on quality of life and psychological outcomes over 12 months (whole systems demonstrator telehealth questionnaire study): nested study of patient reported outcomes in a pragmatic, cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2013; 346: f653–f653.
16. Kenealy TW, Parsons MJG, Rouse APB, et al. Telecare for diabetes, CHF or COPD: effect on quality of life, hospital use and costs. A randomised controlled trial and qualitative evaluation. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0116188.
17. Hurst JR, Donaldson GC, Quint JK, et al. Domiciliary pulse-oximetry at exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: prospective pilot study. *BMC Pulm Med* 2010; 10: 52.
18. Sánchez-Morillo D, Crespo M, León A, et al. A novel multimodal tool for telemonitoring patients with COPD. *Inform Health Soc Care* 2013; 40: 1–22.
19. Sanders C, Rogers A, Bowen R, et al. Exploring barriers to participation and adoption of telehealth and telecare within the whole system demonstrator trial: a qualitative study. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2012; 12: 220.
20. McKinstry B. The use of remote monitoring technologies in managing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *QJM* 2013; 106: 883–885.
21. Ure J, Pinnock H, Hanley J, et al. Piloting telemonitoring in COPD: a mixed methods exploration of issues in design and implementation. *Prim Care Respir J* 2012; 21: 57–64.
22. Brunton L, Bower P and Sanders C. The contradictions of telehealth user experience in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): a qualitative meta-synthesis. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0139561.
23. May CR, Finch TL, Cornford J, et al. Integrating telecare for chronic disease management in the community: what needs to be done? *BMC Health Serv Res* 2011; 11: 131.
24. Bates DW, Saria S, Ohno-Machado L, et al. Big data in health care: using analytics to identify and manage high-risk and high-cost patients. *Health Aff* 2014; 33: 1123–1131.
25. Dhar V. Big data and predictive analytics in health care. *Big Data* 2014; 2: 113–116.
26. Waller MA and Fawcett SE. Data science, predictive analytics, and big data: a revolution that will transform supply chain design and management. *J Bus Logist* 2013; 34: 77–84.
27. Song X, Mitnitski A, Cox J, et al. Comparison of machine learning techniques with classical statistical models in predicting health outcomes. *Stud Health Technol Inform* 2004; 107: 736–740.
28. Crown WH. Potential application of machine learning in health outcomes research and some statistical cautions. *Value Health* 2015; 18: 137–140.
29. Brown DE, Abbasi A and Lau RYK. Predictive analytics: predictive modeling at the micro level. *IEEE Intell Syst* 2015; 30: 6–8.
30. Ancel E, Shih AT, Jones SM, et al. Predictive safety analytics: inferring aviation accident shaping factors and causation. *J Risk Res* 2014; 18: 1–24.
31. McCue C. Data mining and predictive analytics in public safety and security. *IT Prof* 2006; 8: 12–18.
32. Abbasi A, Zahedi F 'Mariam', Zeng D, et al. Enhancing predictive analytics for anti-phishing by exploiting website genre information. *J Manag Inform Syst* 2015; 31: 109–157.
33. Lu Y, Kruger R, Thom D, et al. Integrating predictive analytics and social media. In: *Visual Analytics Science and Technology (VAST)*, 2014 IEEE Conference on, Paris, 2014, pp. 193–202.
34. Chowriappa P, Dua S and Todorov Y. Introduction to Machine Learning in Healthcare Informatics, in

Machine Learning in Healthcare Informatics, ed: Springer, 2014, pp. 1–23.

35. Bishop CM. *Pattern recognition and machine learning*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2006.
36. Amato F, López A, Peña-Méndez EM, et al. Artificial neural networks in medical diagnosis. *J Appl Biomed* 2013; 11: 47–58.
37. Podgorelec V, Kokol P, Stiglic B, et al. Decision trees: an overview and their use in medicine. *J Med Syst* 2002; 26: 445–463.
38. Shahn Z, Ryan P and Madigan D. Predicting health outcomes from high-dimensional longitudinal health histories using relational random forests. *Stat Anal Data Min ASA Data Sci J* 2015; 8: 128–136.
39. Lucas PJF, van der Gaag LC and Abu-Hanna A. Bayesian networks in biomedicine and health-care. *Artif Intell Med* 2004; 30: 201–214.
40. Khamis HS, Cheruiyot KW and Kimani S. Application of k -nearest neighbour classification in medical data mining. *Int J* 2014; 4: 121–128.
41. Suthaharan S. *Machine learning models and algorithms for big data classification*. Boston: Springer, 2016.
42. Khanmohammadi M, Bagheri Garmarudi A, Samani S, et al. Application of linear discriminant analysis and attenuated total reflectance fourier transform infrared microspectroscopy for diagnosis of colon cancer. *Pathol Oncol Res* 2011; 17: 435–441.
43. Haraty RA, Dimishkieh M and Masud M. An enhanced k-means clustering algorithm for pattern discovery in healthcare data. *Int J Distrib Sens Networks* 2015; 1–11.
44. Bagley SC, White H and Golomb BA. Logistic regression in the medical literature: standards for use and reporting, with particular attention to one medical domain. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2001; 54: 979–985.
45. Kuncheva LI. *Combining pattern classifiers: methods and algorithms*. John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
46. Hastie T, Tibshirani R and Friedman J. *The elements of statistical learning: data mining, inference, and prediction*. London: Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
47. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* 2009; 6: e1000097.
48. Cruz J, Brooks D and Marques A. Home telemonitoring in COPD: a systematic review of methodologies and patients' adherence. *Int J Med Inform* 2014; 83: 249–263.
49. Polisena J, Tran K, Cimon K, et al. Home telehealth for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Telemed Telecare* 2010; 16: 120–127.
50. Hailey D, Ohinmaa A and Roine R. Study quality and evidence of benefit in recent assessments of telemedicine. *J Telemed Telecare* 2004; 10: 318–24.
51. Trappenburg JCA, Niesink A, de Weert-van Oene GH, et al. Effects of telemonitoring in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Telemed J E Health* 2008; 14: 138–146.
52. Sund ZM, Powell T, Greenwood R, et al. Remote daily real-time monitoring in patients with COPD – a feasibility study using a novel device. *Respir Med* 2009; 103: 1320–1328.
53. Halpin DMG, Laing-Morton T, Spedding S, et al. A randomised controlled trial of the effect of automated interactive calling combined with a health risk forecast on frequency and severity of exacerbations of COPD assessed clinically and using EXACT PRO. *Prim Care Respir J* 2011; 20: 324–331.
54. Jensen MH, Cichosz SL, Dinesen B, et al. Moving prediction of exacerbation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease for patients in telecare. *J Telemed Telecare* 2012; 18: 99–103.
55. Yañez AM, Guerrero D, Pérez De Alejo R, et al. Monitoring breathing rate at home allows early identification of COPD exacerbations. *Chest* 2012; 142: 1524–1529.
56. van der Heijden M, Lucas PJF, Lijnse B, et al. An autonomous mobile system for the management of COPD. *J Biomed Inform* 2013; 46: 458–469.
57. Johnston NW, Lambert K, Hussack P, et al. Detection of COPD exacerbations and compliance with patient-reported daily symptom diaries. *Chest* 2013; 144: 507–514.
58. Pedone C, Chiurco D, Scarlata S, et al. Efficacy of multiparametric telemonitoring on respiratory outcomes in elderly people with COPD: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2013; 13: 82.
59. Fernández-Granero MA, Sánchez-Morillo D, León-Jiménez A, et al. Automatic prediction of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations through home telemonitoring of symptoms. *Biomed Mater Eng* 2014; 24: 3825–3832.
60. Burton C, Pinnock H and McKinstry B. Changes in telemonitored physiological variables and symptoms prior to exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Telemed Telecare* 2015; 21: 29–36.
61. Hardinge M, Rutter H, Velardo C, et al. Using a mobile health application to support self-management in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a six-month

cohort study. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak* 2015; 15: 46.

62. Mohktar MS, Redmond SJ, Antoniades NC, et al. Predicting the risk of exacerbation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease using home telehealth measurement data. *Artif Intell Med* 2015; 63: 51–59.

63. Sanchez-Morillo D, Fernandez-Granero MA and Jiménez AL. Detecting COPD exacerbations early using daily telemonitoring of symptoms and *k*-means clustering: a pilot study. *Med Biol Eng Comput* 2015; 53: 441–451.

64. Calvo GS, Gomez-Suarez C, Soriano JB, et al. A home telehealth program for patients with severe COPD: the PROMETE study. *Respir Med* 2014; 108: 453–462.

65. Koff PB, Jones RH, Cashman JM, et al. Proactive integrated care improves quality of life in patients with COPD. *Eur Respir J* 2009; 33: 1031–1038.

66. Deschildre A, Béghin L, Salleron J, et al. Home telemonitoring (forced expiratory volume in 1 s) in children with severe asthma does not reduce exacerbations. *Eur Respir J* 2012; 39: 290–296.

67. Finkelstein J and Wood J. Predicting asthma exacerbations using artificial intelligence. *Stud Health Technol Inform* 2013; 190: 56–58.

68. Kupczyk M, Haque S, Sterk PJ, et al. Detection of exacerbations in asthma based on electronic diary data: results from the 1-year prospective BIOAIR study. *Thorax* 2013; 68: 611–8.

69. van Vliet D, Alonso A, Rijkers G, et al. Prediction of asthma exacerbations in children by innovative exhaled inflammatory markers: results of a longitudinal study. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0119434.

70. Toy EL, Gallagher KF, Stanley EL, et al. The economic impact of exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and exacerbation definition: a review. *COPD* 2010; 7: 214–228.

71. Anthonisen NR, Manfreda J, Warren CP, et al. Antibiotic therapy in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1987; 106: 196–204.

72. van der Molen T, Willemse BWM, Schokker S, et al. Development, validity and responsiveness of the Clinical COPD Questionnaire. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2003; 1: 13.

73. Leidy NK, Wilcox TK, Jones PW, et al. Development of the EXAcerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease tool (EXACT): a patient-reported outcome (PRO) measure. *Value Heal* 2010; 13: 965–975.

74. Jones PW, Quirk FH, Baveystock CM, et al. A Self-complete measure of health status for chronic airflow limitation: The St. George's respiratory questionnaire. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1992; 145: 1321–1327.

75. Juniper EF, Guyatt GH, Feeny DH, et al. Measuring quality of life in the parents of children with asthma. *Qual Life Res* 1996; 5: 27–34.

76. Juniper EF, Bousquet J, Abetz L, et al. Identifying 'well-controlled' and 'not well-controlled' asthma using the Asthma control questionnaire. *Respir Med* 2006; 100: 616–621.

77. Moeslund T. *Principal component analysis: an introduction*. Technical Report CVMT 01-02. Aalborg, Denmark: Aalborg University, 2001.

78. Finkelstein J, O'Connor G and Friedmann RH. Development and implementation of the home asthma telemonitoring (HAT) system to facilitate asthma self-care. *Studies in health technology and informatics* 2000; 84.Pt 1: 810–814.

79. Dinesen B, Haesum LK, Soerensen N, et al. Using preventive home monitoring to reduce hospital admission rates and reduce costs: a case study of telehealth among chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients. *J Telemed Telecare* 2012; 18: 221–225.

80. Haesum LKE, Soerensen N, Dinesen B, et al. Cost-utility analysis of a telerehabilitation program: a case study of COPD patients. *Telemed J E Health* 2012; 18: 688–692.

81. Antoniades NC, Rochford PD, Pretto JJ, et al. Pilot study of remote telemonitoring in COPD. *Telemed J E Health* 2012; 18: 634–640.

82. Paré G, Jaana M and Sicotte C. Systematic review of home telemonitoring for chronic diseases: the evidence base. *J Am Med Informatics Assoc* 2007; 14: 269–277.

83. McKinstry B, Pinnock H and Sheikh A. Telemedicine for management of patients with COPD? *Lancet* 2009; 374: 672–673.

84. Trappenburg JCA, Touwen I, de Weert-van Oene GH, et al. Detecting exacerbations using the Clinical COPD Questionnaire. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2010; 8: 102.

85. Bennett AV, Amtmann D, Diehr P, et al. Comparison of 7-day recall and daily diary reports of COPD symptoms and impacts. *Value Heal* 2012; 15: 466–474.

86. Wade R, Cartwright C and Shaw K. Factors relating to home telehealth acceptance and usage compliance. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy* 2012; 5: 25–33.

87. Mitchell M, Meyers C, Wang AIA, et al. ContextProvider: context awareness for medical monitoring applications. *Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2011; 2011: 5244–5247.

88. Bichindaritz I, Vaidya S, Jain A, et al. (eds) *Computational Intelligence in Healthcare 4: Advanced Methodologies*, Vol. 309. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, 2010.

89. Rice JA and Silverman B. Estimating the mean and covariance structure nonparametrically when the data are curves. *J R Stat Soc Ser B* 1991; 53: 233–243.

90. Alexakis C, Rodrigues M, Saatchi R, et al. A knowledge-based electrocardiogram-monitoring system for detection of the onset of nocturnal hypoglycaemia in type 1 diabetic patients. *Comput Cardiol* 2006; 4: 5–8.
91. Spyns P, Meersman R and Jarrar M. Data modelling versus ontology engineering. *ACM SIGMOD Rec* 2002; 31: 12.
92. Greenberg J, Deshmukh R, Huang L, et al. The COPD ontology and toward empowering clinical scientists as ontology engineers. *J Libr Metadata* 2010; 10: 173–187.
93. The National Center for Biomedical Ontology. Read codes, clinical terms version 3 (CTV3) – Exacerbation of asthma – Classes, NCBO BioPortal, <http://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/> RCD?p=classes&conceptid=Xa1hD (accessed 3 August 2015).
94. Britto AS, Sabourin R and Oliveira LES. Dynamic selection of classifiers – A comprehensive review. *Pattern Recognit* 2014; 47: 3665–3680.
95. Celler BG and Sparks RS. Home telemonitoring of vital signs-technical challenges and future directions. *IEEE J Biomed Heal Inform* 2015; 19: 82–91.
96. Wiesner M and Pfeifer D. Health recommender systems: concepts, requirements, technical basics and challenges. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2014; 11: 2580–607.
97. Chen JH, Podchiyska T and Altman RB. OrderRex: clinical order decision support and outcome predictions by data-mining electronic medical records. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2016; 23(2): 339–348.