



Longitudinal follow-up of quadriceps strength and function in a COPD cohort after 3 years

To the Editor:

Skeletal muscle weakness is a distinct extrapulmonary manifestation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), which is associated with a poor prognosis [1] and may represent a novel therapeutic target [2]. However, there are only sparse longitudinal data from prospective studies on skeletal muscle weakness and its relationship with disease progression in COPD. Previous studies have been cross-sectional in nature, and have failed to correlate data with baseline measures of exercise performance or systemic inflammation.

The ERICA (Evaluation of the Role of Inflammation in Chronic Airways disease) trial was a multicentre prospective study in which quadriceps maximal voluntary contraction force (QMVC) was assessed in addition to other measures to provide a detailed evaluation of the extrapulmonary manifestations of COPD [3]. Following ethics approval (NRES Harrow: 11/LO/1636) at a single site, a subset of these patients underwent more detailed evaluation with the aim of relating fibre type to phenotypic parameters (ClinicalTrials.gov number NCT01471587); the primary result has been presented elsewhere [4], and here we present follow-up data for the clinical parameters over a 3-year period.

Briefly, 61 subjects were studied at baseline; key inclusion criteria were a clinical diagnosis of COPD confirmed by post-bronchodilator spirometry (forced expiratory volume in 1 s/forced vital capacity (FEV₁/FVC) ratio <70% and FEV₁ <80% predicted) and a >10 pack-year smoking history. Patients with development of exacerbation within 4 weeks of screening, or a history of other respiratory diagnoses or exercise-limiting musculoskeletal or neurological disease, were excluded. After 3 years, participants were invited for follow-up, at which the baseline assessments were repeated. Key assessments included demographics, anthropometrics, body composition (measured as bioimpedance), post-bronchodilator spirometry and maximal sniff nasal inspiratory pressure (SNIP), physical activity (Sensewear Pro 3 armband (BodyMedia Inc, Pittsburgh, PA, USA)), Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB), 6 minute walk test (6MWT), aortic pulse wave velocity (aPWV), QMVC, ultrasound-determined rectus femoris muscle cross-sectional area (RF_{CSA}), systemic inflammatory markers (fibrinogen, white cell count (WCC) and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP)) and health-related quality of life questionnaires. Mean change between baseline and follow-up, and rate of change per year were calculated and analysed using paired *t*-test. Graphpad Prism (version 5; GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for statistical analysis, and significance was accepted as *p*<0.05.

In total, 31 participants (61% male) returned for follow-up; values are expressed as mean (SD) (table 1). Reasons for not returning were: declined follow-up for personal reasons (12, 20%), death, (10, 16%), inability to attend the study centre due to deterioration in COPD (6, 10%), lung transplant surgery (1, 1%) and being uncontactable (1, 1%) (figure 1). Nonreturning participants were more nutritionally depleted judged by both body mass index (BMI) (*p*=0.002) and fat-free mass index (FFMI) (*p*=0.03), and tended to poorer lung function at baseline judged by FEV₁% predicted (*p*=0.08).

At baseline, the restudied subjects were aged 67 (8) years, with a 52 (40) pack-year smoking history, FEV₁ 47.0 (20.2) % predicted, and Medical Research Council dyspnoea score of 2.8 (1.3). Four participants were current smokers at baseline; of these, two were still smoking at the time of follow-up assessment.



@ERSpublications

The short physical performance battery may be a useful endpoint in assessing impact on skeletal muscle dysfunction <http://ow.ly/xf8z30dOFMz>

Cite this article as: Buttery SC, Mohan D, Fisk M, *et al.* Longitudinal follow-up of quadriceps strength and function in a COPD cohort after 3 years. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 50: 1700707 [<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00707-2017>].

TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics at baseline and follow-up

	Baseline		Follow-up at 3 years (n=31)	Change		95% CI [#]	p-value
	No follow-up (n=30)	Returning (n=31)		Baseline to follow-up	Per year		
Demographics							
Age years	65 [9]	67 [8]	70 [8]	–	–	–	–
Male %	77.3	61.3	61.3	–	–	–	–
Current smoker %	10.0	12.9	6.5	–	–	–	–
Smoking history pack years	40 [20]	52 [40]	52 [40]	–	–	–	–
BMI kg·m ^{–2}	22.6 (4.7) [¶]	26.7 (5.1)	26.7 (6.0)	–0.01 (1.66)	0.00	–0.62 to 0.60	0.1
MRC dyspnoea score (out of 5)	3 [1.4]	3 [1.1]	3 [1.3]	–0.16 (1.24)	–0.05	–0.55 to 0.28	0.5
Respiratory measurements							
FEV ₁ % predicted	38.3 (17.5)	47.0 (20.2)	42.8 (21.5)	–5.56 (15.0)	–1.62	–5.81 to –0.54	0.02
FEV ₁ /FVC	0.34 [0.14]	0.38 [0.16]	0.40 [0.16]	0.01 (0.16)	0.00	–0.01 to 0.07	0.1
Exacerbations per year	2.1 [2.4]	1.8 [2.0]	2.1[2.2]	0.26 [2.85]	0.07	–0.82 to 1.35	0.6
Muscle indices							
6MWD min	408 [116]	399 [132]	325 [172]	–71.7 [162]	–16.8	–119 to –27.4	0.003
SPPB total score (out of 12)	10 [1.9]	11 [1.4]	9 [3.0]	–1.42 [2.99]	–0.45	–2.70 to –0.40	0.01
Balance score (out of 4)	4.0 [0.4]	4.0 [0.2]	3.7 [1.0]	–0.39 [1.21]	–0.1	–0.84 to 0.06	0.09
Gait speed score (out of 4)	3.8 [0.4]	3.8 [0.5]	3.4 [1.1]	–0.39 [1.09]	–0.1	–0.79 to 0.01	0.06
4 m gait speed time s	4.2 [0.8]	4.2 [0.9]	4.3 [1.5]	–0.03 [1.59]	–0.1	–0.64 to 0.45	0.7
Chair-stand score (out of 4)	2.6 (1.4) [¶]	3.1 [1.0]	2.3 [1.3]	–0.87 [1.31]	–0.3	–1.35 to –0.39	0.0009
Chair-stand time s	16.1 (13.3) [¶]	13.8 [9.4]	19.3 [14.7]	5.46 [15.8]	1.6	0.47 to 13.1	0.05
FFM kg	46.8 (9.1) [¶]	52.1 [9.8]	50.3 [11.4]	–1.80 [3.47]	–0.58	–3.08 to –0.53	<0.01
FFMI	14.3 [3.4]	16.3 [2.2]	16.3 [3.2]	0.06 [1.66]	0.02	–0.54 to 0.67	0.8
QMVC kg	28.8 [9.5]	32.6 [8.0]	27.2 [9.0]	–5.20 [11.2]	–1.48	–7.19 to –2.90	<0.0001
QMVC % predicted	68.8 [29.7]	72.7 [16.7]	64.8 [18.8]	–7.39 [12.9]	–2.20	–12.3 to –2.50	<0.01
RF _{CSA} mm ²	516 [184]	603 [136]	449 [126]	–154 [245]	–42.2	–181 to –99.8	<0.0001
SNIP	56.0 [19.2]	53.7 [24.2]	60.6 [19.2]	4.6 [20.1]	1.94	–2.760 to 11.99	0.2
Cardiovascular indices							
Systolic BP mmHg	132 [14.2]	140 [16.3]	136 [16.3]	–3.97 [19.3]	–1.39	–11.1 to 3.12	0.7
aPWV m·s ^{–1}	10.6 [3.5]	10.7 [2.7]	10.3 [2.7]	–2.35 [5.63]	–0.66	–1.44 to 0.96	0.7
Inflammatory markers							
Fibrinogen g·dL ^{–1}	3.8 [0.9]	3.4 [0.7]	3.8 [0.8]	0.35 [0.51]	0.11	0.16 to 0.54	<0.001
WCC ×10 ⁹ ·L ^{–1}	7.2 [1.7]	8.0 [2.9]	7.9 [2.3]	–0.10 [2.62]	0.02	–10.5 to 4.66	0.4
hsCRP mg·L ^{–1}	9.8 [16.3]	11.4 [22.5]	8.1 [19.6]	–13.1[22.1]	–4.02	–0.86 to 1.06	0.8

Baseline, follow-up and change data are expressed as mean (standard deviation) unless otherwise stated. BMI: body mass index; MRC: Medical Research Council; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC: forced vital capacity; 6MWD: 6-min walk distance; SPPB: short physical performance battery; FFM: fat-free mass; FFMI: fat-free mass index; QMVC: quadriceps maximal voluntary contraction; RF_{CSA}: rectus femoris (cross-sectional area); SNIP: maximal sniff nasal inspiratory pressure; BP: blood pressure; aPWV: aortic pulse wave velocity; WCC: white cell count; hsCRP: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein. [#]: confidence interval based on change from baseline to follow-up; [¶]: baseline measure differed significantly between the returning cohort and the non-follow-up cohort.




FIGURE 1 Pie chart showing the reasons for subjects declining follow-up at 3 years.

Statistically significant declines were observed in QMVC (-1.48 kg per year; 95% CI -7.19 to -2.90 , $p \leq 0.0001$), RF_{CSA} (-42.2 mm² per year; 95% CI -181 to -99.8 , $p \geq 0.0001$) and fat-free mass (FFM) (-0.58 kg per year; 95% CI -3.08 to -0.53 , $p = 0.007$) over 3 years, without change in BMI. There was no relationship between sex and QMVC change over time ($r = 0.03$, $p = 0.34$), and linear regression showed no correlation between QMVC decline and either comorbidities ($r = 0.32$, $p = 0.9$), corticosteroid treatment ($r = 0.04$, $p = 0.8$), frequent exacerbations ($r = 0.045$, $p = 0.9$) or hospital admissions ($r = 0.009$, $p = 0.96$). The magnitude of change was consistent with our earlier study [5], which found a reduction in quadriceps strength of 1.5 kg over 1 year in patients with COPD. The changes in FFM may be explained by skeletal muscle loss, which is well documented in COPD and consistent with the observed decline in both QMVC and RF_{CSA} changes. Although there was no correlation between change in RF_{CSA} and QMVC, there was a correlation between change in RF_{CSA} and change in FFM ($r = 0.22$; $p = 0.007$).

As well as loss of skeletal muscle mass, we also observed a significant decline in performance measures over 3 years. In particular, the change in 6MWD showed a linear relationship with change in QMVC ($r = 0.52$; $p = 0.003$) but not with change in RF_{CSA} ($r = 0.27$; $p = 0.19$), with a similar order of magnitude to that previously reported in the ECLIPSE (Evaluation of COPD Longitudinally to Identify Predictive Surrogate Endpoint) cohort, and which over 3 years exceeded the minimally clinically important difference (MCID) [5]. Similarly, the SPPB score significantly decreased from baseline to follow-up; of the three individual components, the sit-to-stand test showed the greatest (and the only statistically significant) contribution to this decline; this is consistent with the data of BERNABEU-MORA *et al.* [6], which suggested that only the five-repetition sit-to-stand test subcomponent of the SPPB was a discriminative measure of self-reported mobility limitation. This is of importance as the European Medical Agency has recently indicated a favourable opinion for the SPPB as an endpoint in clinical trials for sarcopenia, yet little is known about how SPPB scores change over time in COPD. We acknowledge that a weakness of the current study is that we did not study healthy age-matched controls. However, available data suggest that progression in SPPB in our cohort (0.45 points/year) is faster than that reported in healthy seniors (0.1–0.3 points/year) [7].

Our study failed to replicate the earlier findings of BERNABEU-MORA *et al.* [6] and our previous study [8] of a correlation between SPPB scores and quadriceps strength, and we also observed no correlation between change in RF_{CSA} and individual components of the SPPB. Several reasons could explain this, including the small sample size and the fact that our cohort was highly functioning at baseline (SPPB > 10), giving a limited spread of SPPB values. However, we note that the annualised change observed in the sit-to-stand test exceeded the time (1.7 s) that we have previously established as the MCID for this test in COPD [9]. Thus the SPPB, or its sit-to-stand component, represents a relatively simple clinical outcome measure that

can identify patients at risk of muscle weakness, can be used as a tool in inpatient and outpatient environments without the need for specialist equipment or personnel, and can detect change in a relatively small cohort.

In summary, our data, despite the small sample size and likely differential subject drop-outs, show that a measurable decline in quadriceps strength and mass is observed over 3 years in patients with COPD, which in the case of QMVC correlates with clinically relevant changes in 6MWT and SPPB. Consistent with this, change in SPPB was principally driven by the chair-stand element, which we have previously shown to be related to quadriceps strength [10]. Given the known predictive power of the SPPB for functional decline, hospitalisation and mortality [8], our data suggest that skeletal muscle dysfunction is an appropriate target for intervention in COPD, and that SPPB can be a useful endpoint in clinical trials assessing impact on skeletal muscle dysfunction.

Sara C. Buttery^{1,2}, Divya Mohan^{1,2,3}, Marie Fisk^{4,5}, Nicholas S. Hopkinson^{1,2}, Ruth Tal-Singer³, Ian B. Wilkinson^{4,5} and Michael I. Polkey^{1,2}

¹NIHR Respiratory Biomedical Research Unit, Royal Brompton & Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. ²NHLL, Respiratory Medicine, Imperial College, London, UK. ³Research and Development, GlaxoSmithKline, King of Prussia, PA, USA. ⁴Division of Experimental Medicine and Immunotherapeutics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. ⁵Cambridge Clinical Trials Unit (CCTU), Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK.

Correspondence: S.C. Buttery, NIHR Respiratory Biomedical Research Unit, Royal Brompton & Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, Fulham Road, London SW3 6NP, UK. E-mail: s.buttery@rbht.nhs.uk

Received: Jan 31 2017 | Accepted after revision: May 04 2017

This study is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov with identifier number NCT01471587.

Support statement: This study was sponsored by the Royal Brompton & Harefield NHS Foundation Trust and funded by a grant from Innovate UK (1101_CRD_MED_IBD_61188) with contribution in kind from GlaxoSmithKline (GSK), a consortium partner. The follow-up study was funded by Novartis and the entire project was supported by the NIHR Respiratory Biomedical Research Unit at the Royal Brompton & Harefield NHS Foundation Trust and Imperial College, which part-fund Michael I. Polkey's salary. Funding information for this article has been deposited with the Crossref Funder Registry.

Conflict of interest: Disclosures can be found alongside this article at erj.ersjournals.com

References

- 1 Swallow EB, Reyes D, Hopkinson NS, *et al.* Quadriceps strength predicts mortality in patients with moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax* 2007; 62: 115–120.
- 2 Vanfleteren LE, Spruit MA, Groenen M, *et al.* Clusters of comorbidities based on validated objective measurements and systemic inflammation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013; 187: 728–735.
- 3 Mohan D, Gale NS, McEniery CM, *et al.* Evaluating the role of inflammation in chronic airways disease: the ERICA study. *COPD* 2014; 11: 552–559.
- 4 Mohan D, Lewis A, Patel MS, *et al.* Using laser capture microdissection to study fiber specific signaling in locomotor muscle in COPD: a pilot study. *Muscle Nerve* 2017; 55: 902–912.
- 5 Hopkinson NS, Tennant RC, Dayer MJ, *et al.* A prospective study of decline in fat free mass and skeletal muscle strength in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir Res* 2007; 8: 25.
- 6 Bernabeu-Mora R, Medina-Mirapeix F, Llamazares-Herran E, *et al.* The short physical performance battery is a discriminative tool for identifying patients with COPD at risk of disability. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2015; 10: 2619–2626.
- 7 Martinez-Gomez D, Bandinelli S, Del-Panta V, *et al.* Three-year changes in physical activity and decline in physical performance over 9 years of follow-up in older adults: the Invecchiare in Chianti Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2017; 65: 1176–1182.
- 8 Patel MS, Mohan D, Andersson YM, *et al.* Phenotypic characteristics associated with reduced short physical performance battery score in COPD. *Chest* 2014; 145: 1016–1024.
- 9 Jones SE, Kon SS, Canavan JL, *et al.* The five-repetition sit-to-stand test as a functional outcome measure in COPD. *Thorax* 2013; 68: 1015–1020.
- 10 Canavan JL, Maddocks M, Nolan CM, *et al.* Functionally relevant cut point for isometric quadriceps muscle strength in chronic respiratory disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015; 192: 395–397.

Copyright ©ERS 2017